Table 2. Studies with SLE patients

Authors & Reference	N	Gender	Country	Age (years)	BMI (kg/m²)	Disease duration	SLEDAI	Sequencing	α and β -diversity	<i>FIB</i> ratio	Phylum	Class	Order	Family	Genera	Species	Up-regulated functional pathways	Main findings
Guo et al. [26]	17 SLE patients without glucocorticoid therapy (SLE G–) 20 SLE patients with glucocorticoid therapy (SLE G+) 20 HC	Female	China	SLE G-: 34.41 ± 3.404 SLE G+: 34.25 ± 2.945 HC: 30.35 ± 1.907 (mean ± SEM)	SLE G-: 22.41 ± 0.4314 SLE G+: 23.14 ± 0.763 HC: 22.53 ± 0.686 (mean ± SEM)	SLE G-: 15.74 ± 5.984 SLE G+: 47.75 ± 8.039 Months (mean ± SEM)	SLEDAI < 6 SLE G+ $(n = 10)$ SLE G- $(n = 9)$ SLEDAI \ge 6 SLE G+ $(n = 10)$ SLE G- $(n = 8)$	16S rRNA: V4 regions Illumina Hiseq	SLE G–: lower α- diversity. HC and SLE G+: similar microbial communities, and bacteria abundance. No differences in α- diversity, community structure, or abundance of top 10 genera between SLEDAI < 6 vs. SLEDAI ≥ 6.	SLE G-: lower than HC.	SLE G-: Bacteroidetes and Proteobacteria significantly increased vs. HC and SLE G+. Decreased Verrucomicrobia, Firmicutes, and Proteobacteria.	NA	NA	NA	SLE G– vs. HC: increased <i>B.,</i> <i>Parabacteroides</i> SLE G+ vs. SLE G– and HC: increased <i>Akkermansia</i> and <i>Lactobacillus</i>	NA	SLE G- vs. SLE G+ and HC: citrate cycle Glycolysis/Gluconeogenesis, Fructose and mannose metabolism, Galactose metabolism, Galactose metabolism, Galactose metabolism, Galactose metabolism, Galactose metabolism, And PK signaling Glycosaminoglycan degradation, MAPK signaling Glycosaminoglycan degradation, and Glycerophospholipid metabolism, and Pentose phosphate. SLE G+: ABC transporters, Amino acid metabolism, Bacterial secretion system, fatty acid metabolism, Lipid metabolism, Glycan biosynthesis and metabolism, and Phosphotransferase system.	Glucocorticoid therapy has the potential to stabilize the gut microbiota of SLE patients, further decreasing the production of cytokines.
He et al. [27]	45 SLE patients 48 HC	Female	China	SLE: Train: 46.0 ± 1.8 (25, 61) Test: 39.9 ± 4.3 (18, 62)	SLE: Train: 21.5 ± 0.6 (16.4, 28.8)	SLE: Train: 7.9 ± 1.2 [1, 28] Test: 5.0 ± 1.6 [1, 16]	SLE: Train: 7.5 ± 0.5 [3, 14] Test: 6.7 ± 0.8 [4, 10]	16S rRNA: V3-V4 regions Illumina Miseq	α-diversity: no significant differences between SLE and HC.	NA	SLE: increased Bacteroidetes, Actinobacteria, and Proteobacteria;	NA	NA	SLE: increased	SLE: increased Rhodococcus, Eggerthella, Klebsiella, Prevotella, Eubacterium,	NA	NA	Significantly altered genera could have the ability to discriminate

													r					
					Test: 21.2 ±				β-diversity: difference		decreased Firmicutes.				Flavonifractor, and			between SLE
				HC:	1.2 (18.3,	[mean ± SD	[mean ± SD		between SLE and HC.						Incertae sedis.			patients and
				Train: 43.5 ±	27.7)	(min, max)]	(min, max)]											HC.
				2.4 (22, 68)											Decreased Dialister			
				Test: 42.7 ±	HC:	Years									and			
				1.9 (20, 56)	Train: 22.1 ±										Pseudobutyrivibrio.			
					1.0 (20.5,													
				[mean ± SD	27.7)													
				(min, max)]	Test: 21.6 ±													
					0.2 (20.6,													
					25.8)													
					[mean ± SD													
					(min, max)]													
		SLE								015								
		Female:								SLE: lower								
		18			SLE:					than HC								
	20	Male: 2		SLE: 20.0 ±	25.57 ± 4.02	3.5 ± 1.63				laurana d	SLE: Firmicutes are							Gut microbiota
	diagnosed SLE	(10%)		0.5			9 25 + 3 9			correlation	significantly				SLE: Lactobacillus are			dysbiosis may
Gerges et al. [28]	patients		Egypt	HC: 29.9 +	HC:	(mean ± SD)	(mean + SD)	RT-PCR	NA	between	decreased;	NA	NA	NA	significantly	NA	NA	correlate with
	20 HC	HC		6.6	23.78 ± 3.74		(110411 2 00)			SLEDAI-2K	Bacteroidetes are				decreased.			disease
		Female:		(mean ± SD)		Months				and F/B ratio	significantly enriched.							activity.
		16		. ,	(mean ± SD)					(<i>r</i> = −0.451;								
		Male: 4								<i>P</i> = 0.04).								
		(20%)																

									-									
																		Clostridium sp.
																		ATCC BAA-
																		442 positively
																		correlated with
																Enriched in		SLEDAI.
																SLE patients		
																and reduced		Lactobacillus
																after	Positively related to lupus:	salivarius
		SLE														treatment:	biosynthesis of <i>L</i> -arginine	positively
		Female:														Clostridium	and L-ornithine, tryptophan,	correlated with
		107		SLE: 30.8 ±												sp. ATCC	menaquinol, palmitoleate	SLEDAI and
		Male: 10		10.9	SLE:			Metagenomic								BAA-442,	and oleate,	disease
	117 newly	(9%)			20.8 ± 3.1	4		shotgun	α-diversity: lower in							Atopobium	phosphatidylglycerol	duration.
Chen et al. [41]	diagnosed SLE		China	HC: 32.4 ±	110	(mean)	10	sequencing	SLE than HC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	rimae,	biosynthesis, as well as	0
	115 HC	HC		11.3	22.2 + 3.0	Months	(mean)		(Shannon Index).							a satelles	purine nucleotides salvage	enriched in LN
	110110	Female:		(mean ±	(mean + SD)	Monaro		Illumina HiSeq								Actinomyces	and degradation.	cimolog in EN.
		97		SD)	(110411 2 00)											massiliensis.		In SLE patients
		Male: 18														B. fragilis,	Negatively related to lupus:	but not in HC,
		(16%)														Clostridium	peptidoglycan biosynthesis	the oral
																leptum, and	and BCAAs biosynthesis.	microbiota may
																an		contribute to
																unclassified		gut
																Escherichia.		inflammation
																		and
																		autoimmune
																		pathology
																		development.

Xiang et al. [29]	Two-sample MR, multi-ethnic large-scale GWAS. 7,219 SLE cases and 15,991 HC of European ancestry.	NA	United States of America, Canada, Israel, Netherlands, Belgium, Sweden, Sweden, South Korea, Germany, Denmark, Finland, UK.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Bacillales, Coprobacter, and Lachnospira were negatively related to the risk of SLE. Bacilli, Lactobacillales, and Eggerthella might be risk factors for SLE onset.
Wei et al. [30]	14 SLE patients 16 HC	SLE Female: 13 Male: 1 (7%) HC Female: 14 Male: 2 (13%)	China	SLE: 40.71 ± 13.85 HC: 38.63 ± 14.50 (mean ± SD)	NA	4.86 ± 5.23 (Years ± SD)	NA	16s RNA: V3–V4 regions MiSeq	α-diversity: no difference (Shannon, Simpson, and Heip indexes); higher than HC by Sobs, Chao, Ace, and Pd indexes. β-diversity: difference between SLE and HC.	NA	SLE: increased Proteobacteria.	NA	NA	SLE: increased Enterobacterlac eae; decreased Ruminococcace ae, Prevotellaceae, family_XI_o_Clo stridiales	SLE: increased Streptococcus. Decreased Prevotella_9, Roseburia, Ruminococcaceae UCG-003, Ruminococcaceae NK4A214_group, Paraprevotella, Ruminococcaceae UCG-013, Ezakiella, Porphyromonas.	NA	SLE: reduced Sphingolipid metabolism, and biosynthesis of Polyketide sugar unit Lysosome, Glycosphingolipid globo series, Butirosin and neomycin Adipocytokine signaling pathway and Glycosphingolipid.	Proteobacteria and Ruminococcac eae may be related to SLE occurrence. Alterations in the pathways associated with proteins and enzymes in SLE patients may be due to

																		the gut microbiota.
Wen et al. [31]	33 SLE patients (children). All with LN 28 HC	SLE Female: 26 Male: 7 (21%) HC Female: 14 Male: 14 (50%)	China	SLE: 12.39 ± 2.40 HC:10.61 ± 3.67 (mean ± SD)	SLE: 18.79 ± 2.3 HC: 18.57 ± 4.51 (mean ± SD)	NA	0-4: 22 (66.67%) 5-9: 2 (6.06%) 10-14: 9 (27.27%)	16S rRNA: V4–V5 regions 18S rRNA: V9 and ITS1 Illumina MiSeq	α-diversity: no difference. β-diversity: no difference.	NA	SLE: increased Proteobacteria.	SLE: increased Alphaprot eobacteri a, Gammapr oteobacte ria, Bacilli.	SLE: increased Enteroba cteriales, Xanthom onadales, Caulobac terales, Sphingo monadale s, Lactobaci Ilales.	SLE: Streptococcace ae, Caulobacterace ae, Enterobacteriac eae, Sphingomonada ceae, Rhodanobacter aceae.	SLE: increased Escherichia_Shigella, Clostridium_innocuum _group, Streptococcus, Hungatella, Erysipelatoclostridium, R_gnavus_group, Klebsiella, Lachnoclostridium, Rudaea, Sphingomonas, Kluyvera.	NA	SLE: protein digestion and absorption (involving <i>L</i> - tryptophan, tyramine, <i>L</i> - phenylalanine, <i>L</i> -leucine, <i>L</i> - methionine, <i>L</i> -alanine, <i>L</i> - glutamine, <i>L</i> -valine, <i>L</i> - isoleucine, and <i>L</i> -tyrosine).	No significant difference in alpha-diversity between SLE and HC. <i>Proteobacteria</i> was increased in SLE patients. Sphingomonas with a positive correlation with protein digestion and absorption pathway.
Li et al. [32]	40 SLE patients 20 RA patients 22 HC	Female	China	SLE: 37.46 ± 14.17 HC: 37.18 ± 14.67 (mean ± SD)	NA	NA	SLEDAI ≥ 8 (<i>n</i> = 19) SLEDAI < 8 (<i>n</i> = 21)	16S rRNA: V3–V4 regions Illumina MiSeq	α-diversity: no difference between SLE and HC (Shannon and Simpson index). Higher in HC than SLE (Chao1 and Observed species).	Decreasing trend between SLE and HC, and between active and inactive disease (without	SLE: decreased Tenericutes, Mollicutes, and RF39. Bacilli showed clustered differences between SLE and HC. Actinobacteria showed clustered differences	NA	SLE: Actinomy cetales and Bifidobact eriales showed clustered difference s	SLE: increased Streptococcace ae and Lactobacillacea e.	SLE: decreased Faecalibacterium, and Roseburia. Increased Streptococcus, Lactobacillus, Megasphaera.	SLE: decreased Faecalibacte rium prausnitzii. Increased Streptococcu s anginosus, and	SLE: enrichment of Streptococcus positively correlated with increased apoptosis pathway, and negatively correlated with cancer pathways. Enrichment of Streptococcus negatively associated with pathways of alanine	Reduced bacterial α- diversity in SLE (Chao1 and Observed species). No significant difference in diversity

									No significant	significant	between active and		between		Bifidobacterium	Lactobacillus	aspartate and glutamate	between SLE
									difference between	difference).	inactive disease.		active		reduced in active vs.	mucosae.	metabolism, primary and	and RA.
									active and inactive				and		inactive disease.		secondary bile acid	
									disease.				inactive			R. gnavus	biosynthesis, and positively	The genera
													disease.			reduced in	associated with increased	Streptococcus
									β-diversity: different							active vs.	pathways of synthesis and	and
									between SLE patients							inactive	degradation of ketone	Megasphaera
									and HC; no							disease.	bodies, apoptosis, lipid	were increased
									associations with							Streptococcu	metabolism, secretion	in SLE patients
									treatments.							s anginosus,	system, and Staphylococcus	vs. HC and RA
																positive	aureus infection.	patients.
																correlation		
																with		Different gut
																SLEDAI.		microbiota
																		profiles
																		between active
																		and inactive
																		disease.
																		Streptococcus
																		might play an
																		important role
																		in the disease
																		progression in
																		SLE.
		SLE		SLE: 41.46 ±	SLE: 23.03 ±											SLE:		
		Female:		12.36	3.57					No				SI E: dogrouped	SLE: Increased	increased L.		Several genera
iu et al [33]	35 SLE patients	32	China			2 months to 20	Range between	16S rRNA: V3–V4	α -diversity: lower in	diferences	No diferences	NA	NΔ	Ruminococcocc	Prevotella Blautia	iners	NA	with
Lia et al. [33]	35 HC	Male: 3		HC: 45.06 ±	HC: 24.56 ±	years	1 and 12	region	SLE vs. HC.	between	between SLE and HC.	110	110	ae.	Decreased	Decreased		differences
		(9%)		11.24	2.89					SLE and HC.					Bifidobacterium	unclassified		between low
				(mean ± SD)	(mean ± SD)											bacterium of		and high

	HC							Ruminococc	disease
	Female:							aceae	activity.
	32							family, <i>B</i> .	
	Male: 3							adolescentis	Prevotella and
	(9%)							and <i>B</i> .	Sneathia:
								Longum.	significant
									difference
									between low
									and high
									disease
									activity.
									Negative
									correlation
									between
									SLEDAI and
									abundance of
									Acholeplasma,
									Capnocytopha
									ga, and
									Leptotrichia.
									Disease
									phenotype
									explained the
									variation in
									bacterial
									composition
									between SLE
									and HC.

																		Bacterial richness and diversity were not significantly different between SLEDAI cathegories
Luo et al. [42]	14 SLE patients (active disease) 17 non-SLE	SLE Female: 10 Male: 4 (29%)	USA	Between 21 and 73	Between 21 and 43.5	NA	Range between 0 and 13	16S rRNA: V4 region Illumina MiSeq	α-diversity: lower in SLE (Shannon index).	No differences between SLE and HC.	SLE: increase in Proteobacteria.	NA	NA	NA	SLE: decreased Odoribacter, increased Blautia, and an unnamed genus (family Rikenellaceae).	SLE: increased Blautia wexlerae (strain AUH- JLD17 and AUH- JLD56), Blautia spp. strain Marseille (strain P3602 and P3602 and	NA	The gut microbiota of SLE patients differed in several bacterial species and was less diverse, with increased representation of Gram- negative bacteria, than HC.

				ļ					Decreased	
			, 	l l					Odoribacter	
			, 	l l					laneus	
			, 	l l					(strain JCM	
				ļ					and YIT),	
			, 	l l					Odoribacter	
			, 	l l					splanchnicus	
			, 	l l					, Alistipes	
			, 	l l					onderdonkii,	
			, 	l l					Alistipes sp.	
			, 	l l					(strain LS-J	
			, 	l l					and LS-M),	
			, 	l l					Alistipes	
			, 	l l					shahii,	
			, 	l l					Alistipes	
			, 	l l					obesi,	
			, 	l l					Alistipes	
				ļ					ihumii,	
			, 	l l					Alistipes	
			, 	l l					spp. strain	
			, 	l l					cv1, Alistipes	
				ļ					indistinctus	
			, 	l l					(strain JCM	
			, 	l l					and YIT),	
			, 	l l					Alistipes	
			, 	l l					spp. strain	
				ļ					S216,	
									Alistipes	
									finegoldii	
									(strain DSM	
			1						17242, JCM	

																16770, CIP 107999 and AHN 2437).		
Hevia et al. [34]	20 SLE patients 20 HC	SLE Female: 20 HC Female: 20	Spain	SLE: 49.2 ± 10.7 HC: 46.9 ± 8.6 (mean ± SD)	SLE: 26.1 ± 5.3 HC: 25.2 ± 4.2 (mean ± SD)	2 years to 24 years	SLEDAI ≤ 8 vs. SLEDAI > 8	16S rRNA: V3 region Ion Torrent PGM	α-diversity: no difference (Shannon index).	SLE: lower.	SLE: increased relative abundance of <i>Bacteroidetes</i> .	SLE: increased <i>Bacteroidi</i> a.	Bacteroid ales and Clostridial es: significant difference between SLE and HC.	NA	SLE: high <i>Bacteroidetes</i> spp.	NA	SLE: glycan degradation pathways, lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis proteins, oxidative phosphorylation.	SLE patients had lower F/B ratio. Positive association between Firmicutes and HC and Bacteroidetes and SLE patients.

Tomofuji et al. [35]	47 SLE patients 203 HC	SLE Female: 43 Male: 4 (9%) HC Female: 104 Male: 99 (49%)	Japan	SLE: 42.9±15.9 HC: 35.4±12.0 (mean ± SD)	NA	Newly diagnosed: 18 (38.3%) Not newly diagnosed: 29 (61.7%)	Mean: 11.1±8.7	Whole-genome shotgun sequencing.	 α-diversity: lower in SLE vs. HC; not different between the newly onset patients vs. other patients, patients with and without LN, or patients with high and low SLEDAI. β-diversity: altered in SLE vs. HC; not different between the newly onset patients, vs. other patients, 	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	SLE: increased Streptococcu s and Streptococcu s intermedius.	SLE: sulfur metabolism, redox reaction, flagellar assembly, starch and sucrose metabolism, cyanoamino acid metabolism, ABC transporter, cell motility.	Altered gut microbiota in SLE vs. HC. Eight genes increased in the SLE metagenome derived from Streptococcus, including a gene related to redox reaction. SLE–specific link between biological pathways of the gut microbiota and the host genome.
He et al. [36]	21 SLE patients 10 HC	SLE Female: 21 HC Female: 10	China	SLE: 37.48 ± 2.44 HC: 37.50 ± 3.02 (mean ± SD)	NA	NA	NA	16S rRNA: V3–V4 regions Illumina HiSeq	α-diversity: no difference (Shannon, Simpson, Chao1 indexes). β-diversity: altered between SLE and HC.	SLE: increased.	SLE: increased Bacteroidetes, Proteobacteria.	SLE: decrease d Bacteroidi a, Clostridia; increased Gammapr	SLE: decrease d Bacteridal es, Clostridial es;	SLE: increased Enterobacteriac eae, Enterococcacea e, decreased Ruminococcace ae.	SLE: decreased Bacteroidetes, R2 and R. UCG-002, Faecalibacterium; increased Proteobacteria, Enterococcus, Escherichia_Shigella.	NA	NA	The gut microbiome of SLE patients displayed altered β- diversity. ~ 1-fold increase in the

Image: Sector	F/B ratio in SLE patients. Dysbiosis, including the decrease of potentially beneficial Bacteroidetes and the increase of the potentially pathological Proteobacteria, may contribute
A. Frank <td>SLE patients. Dysbiosis, including the decrease of potentially beneficial <i>Bacteroidetes</i> and the increase of the potentially pathological <i>Proteobacteria</i>, may contribute</td>	SLE patients. Dysbiosis, including the decrease of potentially beneficial <i>Bacteroidetes</i> and the increase of the potentially pathological <i>Proteobacteria</i> , may contribute
	Dysbiosis, including the decrease of potentially beneficial <i>Bacteroidetes</i> and the increase of the potentially pathological <i>Proteobacteria</i> , may contribute
Image: Section of the section of th	Dysbiosis, including the decrease of potentially beneficial <i>Bacteroidetes</i> and the increase of the potentially pathological <i>Proteobacteria</i> , may contribute
Image: Section of the section of th	including the decrease of potentially beneficial <i>Bacteroidetes</i> and the increase of the potentially pathological <i>Proteobacteria</i> , may contribute
Image: Section of the section of th	decrease of potentially beneficial <i>Bacteroidetes</i> and the increase of the potentially pathological <i>Proteobacteria</i> , may contribute
Image:	potentially beneficial <i>Bacteroidetes</i> and the increase of the potentially pathological <i>Proteobacteria</i> , may contribute
Image:	beneficial Bacteroidetes and the increase of the potentially pathological Proteobacteria, may contribute
Image: Second	Bacteroidetes and the increase of the potentially pathological <i>Proteobacteria</i> , may contribute
Image: Section of the section of th	and the increase of the potentially pathological <i>Proteobacteria</i> , may contribute
Image: Second	increase of the potentially pathological <i>Proteobacteria</i> , may contribute
Image: Second	potentially pathological <i>Proteobacteria,</i> may contribute
Image: Second	pathological <i>Proteobacteria,</i> may contribute
Image: Second	Proteobacteria, may contribute
Image: Second	may contribute
Image: Second	
Image: Constraint of the second s	to SLE
α-diversity: lower in SLE than HC; a numerical trend	pathogenesis.
SLE than HC; a numerical trend SLE: high <i>R</i> .	SLE patients
numerical trend SLE: high R.	with LN have
	intestinal
SLE towards an inverse gnavus.	expansions of
Female: SLEDAI < 8 (<i>n</i> = correlation with	the R. gnavus.
61 United Between 20 For Form Control	The
Azzouz et al. [37] Azzouz et al.	overabundanc
HC America HC America $SLEDAI \ge 8$ ($n = $ lower diversity vs. HC. Litroine R and R are the second s	e of <i>R. gnavus</i>
Female: 14) (Chao1 index). highest	correlated with
17 disease	lupus disease
β-diversity: altered in activity.	activity, and it
SLE vs. HC; less	was higher in
variability in patients	patients with

									with low vs. high disease activity.									active renal disease. SLE patients with the highest
																		disease activity had the lowest abundance of <i>B. uniformis.</i>
van der Meulen et al. [38]	35 SLE patients 40 pSS patients 965 HC	SLE Female: 33 Male: 2 HC Female: 554 Male: 408 (42%)	Netherlands	SLE: 47 ± 14 HC: 45 ± 13 (mean ± SD)	SLE: 27 ± 5 HC: 25 ± 4 (mean ± SD)	SLE: 11 ± 9 (mean ± SD)	SLEDAI ≤ 4 vs. SLEDAI > 4	16S rRNA: V4 region Illumina MiSeq-v2	 α-diversity: lower richness in SLE than HC (Chao1); without differences in diversity (Shannon index). β-diversity: altered in SLE vs. HC; different β-diversity between high or low disease activity. 	SLE: lower	SLE: higher Bacteroidetes and Proteobacteria.	NA	NA	NA	SLE: higher B., and Alistipes. Genera associated with SLE: Butyricicoccus, Dorea, Erysipelotrichaceae- UCG-003, Eubacterium ruminantium group, Marvinbryantia, Phascolarctobacteriu m, Ruminoclostridium_9, R. gauvreauii group.	SLE: higher B. vulgatus, B. uniformis, B. ovatus, and B. thetaiotaomi cron.	NA	Factors contributing to the microbiota variation: age, sex, BMI, smoking, and use of PPI. Lower richness of the gut microbiota of SLE patients than HC.
Li et al. [39]	40 SLE patients (20 receiving PPI: P-SLE and 20 not receiving: NP-	SLE Female: 40 HC	China	P-SLE: 34.25 ± 10.54 NP-SLE:	P-SLE: 22.49 ± 3.39 NP-SLE: 23.16 ± 2.78	P-SLE: 1.5 (0.15–4.7) NP-SLE: 5.5 (0.7–10.75)	P-SLE: 9.65 ± 4.78 NP-SLE: 6.5 ± 4.51 (mean ± SD)	16S rRNA: V3–V4 regions Illumina	α-diversity: lower in NP-SLE vs. HC; P- SLE with higher diversity than NP-SLE.	NA	Higher Veillonellaceae and Enterobacteriaceae in NP-SLE than P-SLE and HC.	NA	NA	Higher abundance of Enterobacteriac eae in NP-SLE	NP-SLE: higher Rothia, Morganella, Escherichia, Pseudomonas, Stenotrophomonas,	NA	Increased in P-SLE and NP- SLE: carbon fixation pathways in prokaryotes, cell growth and death, thiamine metabolism, immune system,	SLE patients using PPI showed different gut microbiota than

		-																
	SLE)	Female:		35.95 ±		(Years, median			(Chao1, inverse Gini-		Lower Prevotellaceae			than P-SLE and	Veillonella, and		DNA replication, drug	patients not
		17		10.27	HC: 22.48 ±	(IQR))			Simpson, Shannon		in NP-SLE than HC.			HC.	Enterococcus than		metabolism, other enzymes,	taking it.
	17 HC				2.51				index)						HC.		NOD-like receptor signaling	
				HC: 30.12 ±	(mean ± SD)						Without statistical						pathway, and plant-pathogen	Characteristics
				14.14					β-diversity: higher		significance between				P-SLE: higher		interaction.	of the gut
				(mean ± SD)					variation within NP-		groups.				Desulfovibrio,			microbiota of
									SLE vs. HC and P-						Oxalobacter,		Reduced in P-SLE:	P-SLE patients
									SLE.						Roseburia,		nitrotoluene degradation,	similar to HC.
															Streptococcus, and		biofilm formation by	PPI use was
															Lactobacillus than NP-		Escherichia coli, propanoate	associated with
															SLE. Lower		metabolism, pentose	altered
															Veillonella,		phosphate pathway.	microbial
															Escherichia,		phosphotransferase system	microbiai
															Pseudomonas,		PTS_ABC transporters	
															Stenotrophomonas,		membrane transport and	
															and Morganella were		environmental information	SLE and
															lower than NP-SLE.		environmental mormation.	improved the
																		microbiota
																		composition of
																		SLE patients.
																SLE:		Fecal
														increased	increased		transplant from	
		SLE							α-diversity: no							Clostridium		SLE patients to
		Female:						16S rRNA: V4	differences.							papyrosolve		germ-free mice
	18 SLE patients	18						region							SLE: increased	ns,		led to an
Ma et al. [40]	7 HC		China	NA	NA	NA	NA		β-diversity: differences	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Turicibacter.	Lactobacillus	NA	increase in
		HC						IonS5 XL	not statistically							reuteri,		autoantibodies,
		Female: 7							significant.							Lactobacillus		and an
																intestinalis,		approximation
																Lachnospira		of the gut
																ceae		microbiota
																	,	

								bacterium	composition
								A2 and	between
								Lachnospira	donors and
								ceae	recipients.
								bacterium	
								M18–1.	Fecal
									microbiota
									from SLE
									patients can
									induce lupus-
									like
									phenotypes
									and alter
									histidine
									metabolism in
									germ-free
									mice.

ABC: ATP-binding cassette; *B*.: *Bacteroides*; BCAA: branched-chain amino acid; BMI: body mass index; GWAS: genome-wide association study; IQR: interquartil range; ITS1: internal transcribed spacer 1; MAPK: mitogen-activated protein kinase; MR: mendelian randomization; N: number of individuals; NOD: nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain; NP-SLE: not taking proton pump inhibitors; PGM: personal genome machine; PPI: proton pump inhibitors; P-SLE: taking proton pump inhibitors; pSS: Primary Sjögren's syndrome; RA: rheumatoid arthritis; RT-PCR: reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction; SD: standard deviation; SEM: standard error of mean; SLEDAI: systemic lupus erythematosus disease activity index; SLE G-: systemic lupus erythematosus not taking glucocorticoids; SLE G+: systemic lupus erythematosus taking glucocorticoids; UCG: UCG codon