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Table S1. Detailed examination of the relationship between number of CD34+CD133+ cells (log transformed) and the risk of AD/dementia risk

| CD34+CD133+ cells plus Covariates | Alzheimer's disease |  | Dementia |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HR (95\% CI) | $P$ value | HR (95\% CI) | $P$ value |
| Model 1: no covariates | $\begin{gathered} 0.66(0.47,0.92) \\ \mathrm{n}=1597 \end{gathered}$ | 0.02 | $\begin{gathered} 0.64(0.48,0.85) \\ n=1619 \end{gathered}$ | 0.002 |
| Model 2: Age, sex, years of education | $\begin{gathered} 0.73(0.53,1.03) \\ \mathrm{n}=1597 \end{gathered}$ | 0.07 | $\begin{gathered} 0.70(0.53,0.94) \\ n=1619 \end{gathered}$ | 0.02 |
| Model 3: Model $2+$ APOE $\varepsilon 4$ + vascular diseases | $\begin{gathered} 0.72(0.51,1.03) \\ \mathrm{n}=1325 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.07 | $\begin{gathered} 0.68(0.51,0.93) \\ \mathrm{n}=1346 \end{gathered}$ | 0.01 |
| Model 3 after stratification <br> No vascular diseases ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.88(0.28,12.75) \\ n=251 \end{gathered}$ | 0.52 | $\begin{gathered} 1.88(0.28,12.75) \\ \mathrm{n}=251 \end{gathered}$ | 0.52 |
| Peripheral vascular diseases ${ }^{\text {b }}$ only | $\begin{gathered} 0.68(0.46,0.99) \\ \mathrm{n}=826 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.04 | $\begin{gathered} 0.66(0.48,0.91) \\ \mathrm{n}=844 \end{gathered}$ | 0.01 |
| Cerebrovascular diseases ${ }^{\text {c only }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.70(0.44,1.13) \\ \mathrm{n}=622 \end{gathered}$ | 0.14 | $\begin{gathered} 0.63(0.42,0.94) \\ \mathrm{n}=635 \end{gathered}$ | 0.02 |

[^0]Table S2. Detailed examination of the relationship between CD34+CD133+ ( $\log$ transformed) and the risk of diseases by using Cox proportional hazards regression analyses or Body Mass Index (BMI) and white matter hyperintensities (WMHI) by using linear regression analysis.

| CD34+CD133+ cell plus Covariates | Model 1: no covariates |  | Model 2: Age, sex, education |  | Model 3: Model $2+$ APOE $\varepsilon 4$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HR (95\% CI) | $\mathbf{P}$ value | HR (95\% CI) | $P$ value | HR (95\% CI) | $P$ value |
| Peripheral vascular diseases | 1.10 (0.99-1.21) | 0.07 | 1.15 (1.04-1.27) | 0.0072 | 1.15 (1.03-1.27) | 0.009 |
| Hypertension | 1.12 (1.01-1.24) | 0.04 | 1.17 (1.06-1.31) | 0.0032 | 1.17 (1.05-1.30) | 0.0039 |
| CHD | 0.88 (0.73-1.05) | 0.15 | 0.92 (0.77-1.11) | 0.40 | 0.91 (0.76-1.10) | 0.34 |
| Diabetes | 1.06 (0.83-1.36) | 0.64 | 1.09 (0.85-1.40) | 0.49 | 1.09 (0.85-1.40) | 0.49 |
| Cerebrovascular diseases | 1.01 (0.90-1.13) | 0.83 | 1.08 (0.97-1.22) | 0.17 | 1.09 (0.97-1.22) | 0.16 |
| Stroke | 1.04 (0.79-1.37) | 0.78 | 1.15 (0.87-1.52) | 0.33 | 1.20 (0.91-1.59) | 0.20 |
| Silent brain infarcts | 0.94 (0.74-1.20) | 0.63 | 0.97 (0.76-1.24) | 0.80 | 0.99 (0.77-1.26) | 0.92 |
| CMB | 0.97 (0.71-1.34) | 0.86 | 1.06 (0.76-1.49) | 0.72 | 1.10 (0.78-1.54) | 0.59 |
|  | Beta (SE) | $\mathbf{P}$ value | Beta (SE) | $\mathbf{P}$ value | Beta (SE) | $\mathbf{P}$ value |
| BMI | 0.024 (0.006) | 0.0002 | 0.020 (0.006) | 0.0019 | 0.018 (0.006) | 0.005 |
| WMHI | -0.11 (0.05) | 0.02 | -0.03 (0.04) | 0.43 | -0.03 (0.04) | 0.41 |

Model 1: simple association without confounders; Model 2: Adjusting for age, sex and education; Model 3: Model $2+$ APOE ع4

Table S3. Examination of the interactions between different progenitor or mature endothelial cells (log transformed) and vascular diseases on the risk of AD/dementia

| Circulating cells | Alzheimer's disease |  | All-cause Dementia |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HR (95\% CI) | P value | HR (95\% CI) | P value |
| CD34+CD133+ $\times$ vascular diseases | $0.48(0.06,3.91)$ | 0.49 | $0.48(0.09,2.68)$ | 0.40 |
| CD34+CD133- $\times$ vascular diseases | $0.23(0.02,3.04)$ | 0.26 | $0.45(0.05,3.91)$ | 0.47 |
| CD34-CD133+ $\times$ vascular diseases | $72.19(0.36,1.45 \mathrm{e}+04)$ | 0.11 | $8.96(0.25,317.46)$ | 0.23 |
| CD34+ $\times$ vascular diseases | $0.36(0.02,8.26)$ | 0.52 | $0.39(0.02,7.99)$ | 0.54 |
| CD34+/KDR+ $\times$ vascular diseases | $0.85(0.14,5.07)$ | 0.86 | $0.81(0.14,4.71)$ | 0.82 |
| CD31+/CD45- $\times$ vascular diseases | $1.45(0.21,10.18)$ | 0.71 | $0.83(0.21,3.33)$ | 0.79 |
| CD31+ $\times$ vascular diseases | $0.23(0.004,14.47)$ | 0.48 | $2.05(0.22,18.78)$ | 0.53 |
| CD31- $\times$ vascular diseases |  |  | 0.17 | $3.88(0.20,76.21)$ |
| CD31+DIM $\times$ vascular diseases | $13.50(0.34,541.95)$ | $0.07(0.00,46.63)$ | 0.42 | $0.06(0.00,11.28)$ |
| CD31+Lymphoid $\times$ vascular diseases | $540.46(0.001,3.80 e+08)$ | 0.36 | $0.29(0.00,8.58 e+04)$ | 0.37 |

Cox proportional hazards regression models were used to study the interactions between the proportions (\%) of different subtypes of EPCs and EMCs (log transformed) and the vascular diseases on the risk of Alzheimer's disease (AD) or all-cause dementia after adjusting for age, sex, years of education and APOE $\varepsilon 4$. Due to the imbalanced distributions of CD34-CD133- cells, the interactive data analysis on this type of cells was not able to be conducted for the meaningful conclusion. HR with $95 \%$ confidence interval ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ ) for the interactive effects with p values are shown.

Table S4. Other SNPs from GWAS interaction results of KIRREL3 and EXOC6B

| Gene/Closest gene | Chr | Position ((GRCh37) | Major Allele | Minor Allele | rs ID | Beta | se | P value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KIRREL3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KIRREL3 | 11 | 126436966 | C | T | rs605226 | 1.6199 | 0.2677 | 1.60e-08 |
| KIRREL3 | 11 | 126436922 | G | T | rs605162 | 1.6169 | 0.2678 | 1.70e-08 |
| KIRREL3 | 11 | 126437834 | T | A | rs578463 | 1.4686 | 0.2458 | $2.40 \mathrm{e}-08$ |
| KIRREL3 | 11 | 126437941 | G | A | rs619996 | 1.4646 | 0.2452 | 2.40e-08 |
| KIRREL3 | 11 | 126439019 | C | T | rs634964 | 1.7946 | 0.3006 | 2.50e-08 |
| EXOC6B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73082263 | G | A | rs55802296 | 1.4881 | 0.2686 | 2.30e-07 |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73079675 | T | C | rs12614041 | 1.4343 | 0.2594 | 2.44e-07 |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73079965 | T | A | rs56711961 | 1.4348 | 0.2596 | 2.45e-07 |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73079989 | G | C | rs56181835 | 1.4349 | 0.2596 | 2.45e-07 |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73081791 | T | A | rs12615875 | 1.4381 | 0.2602 | 2.45e-07 |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73080639 | G | C | rs2063168 | 1.4556 | 0.2643 | 2.70e-07 |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73076311 | T | C | rs4852897 | 1.4127 | 0.2570 | 2.84e-07 |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73075651 | T | C | rs57264301 | 1.4119 | 0.2569 | 2.86e-07 |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73075644 | A | G | rs59615613 | 1.4114 | 0.2568 | 2.86e-07 |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73075206 | C | T | rs67919884 | 1.4057 | 0.2559 | 2.90e-07 |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73069299 | C | T | rs12619068 | 1.3876 | 0.2530 | 3.04e-07 |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73069973 | G | T | rs1876488 | 1.3875 | 0.2530 | 3.04e-07 |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73068205 | T | A | rs67927720 | 1.3876 | 0.2530 | 3.04e-07 |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73065894 | C | T | rs2135983 | 1.3875 | 0.2530 | 3.04e-07 |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73073494 | A | C | rs4852896 | 1.3855 | 0.2527 | 3.04e-07 |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73072728 | C | T | rs60584321 | 1.3855 | 0.2527 | 3.04e-07 |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73071304 | A | T | rs12614455 | 1.3896 | 0.2534 | 3.04e-07 |


| EXOC6B | 2 | 73062631 | G | C | rs11126386 | 1.3878 | 0.2531 | 3.05e-07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73064491 | G | A | rs6707107 | 1.3878 | 0.2531 | 3.05e-07 |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73061305 | C | T | rs58448780 | 1.3876 | 0.2531 | 3.07e-07 |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73061116 | G | A | rs4564798 | 1.3876 | 0.2531 | 3.07e-07 |
| EХОС6В | 2 | 73060352 | T | G | rs7577528 | 1.3876 | 0.2532 | $3.11 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73060015 | A | G | rs7586886 | 1.3875 | 0.2532 | $3.11 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 72998061 | T | C | rs6716335 | 1.4199 | 0.2593 | $3.15 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 72998075 | C | T | rs6761253 | 1.4194 | 0.2592 | $3.15 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73034553 | C | T | rs11126382 | 1.3861 | 0.2537 | $3.34 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73072819 | A | G | rs112345272 | 1.4133 | 0.2588 | $3.39 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73030396 | A | G | rs10204141 | 1.3839 | 0.2534 | $3.41 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73030674 | C | T | rs10496187 | 1.3840 | 0.2535 | $3.42 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73027972 | A | T | rs12619565 | 1.3835 | 0.2534 | $3.43 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73013879 | T | A | rs7607226 | 1.3819 | 0.2532 | $3.45 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73013836 | C | T | rs7593084 | 1.3819 | 0.2532 | $3.45 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73006888 | A | G | rs4597553 | 1.3897 | 0.2547 | $3.49 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73003486 | C | T | rs58890506 | 1.3998 | 0.2566 | $3.49 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 72997442 | R | D | NA | 1.4036 | 0.2573 | $3.49 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73005579 | T | C | rs970577 | 1.3899 | 0.2548 | $3.50 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73007522 | T | C | rs2068410 | 1.3889 | 0.2546 | $3.50 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73029379 | A | G | rs7586339 | 1.3936 | 0.2564 | $3.88 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73082129 | C | T | rs11886503 | 1.4540 | 0.2680 | 4.07e-07 |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73082562 | G | T | rs4852899 | 1.4756 | 0.2731 | $4.51 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73082563 | C | A | rs4852900 | 1.4760 | 0.2732 | $4.51 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73023457 | T | C | rs111636941 | 1.3785 | 0.2551 | $4.52 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73071303 | R | D | NA | 1.4171 | 0.2635 | $5.11 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| EXOC6B | 2 | 73082956 | T | A | rs7567893 | 1.4785 | 0.2785 | $7.12 \mathrm{e}-07$ |

Table S5. The association between CD34+CD133+ and AD incidences after stratification with the genotypes

| SNP (Gene) | Genotype | HR (95\% CI) | P value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| rs4144611 (KIRREL3) | TT | $0.29(0.15-0.57)$ | $\mathbf{4 . 0 e - 0 4}$ |
|  | GG+TG | $1.13(0.68-1.89)$ | 0.64 |
| rs580382 (KIRREL3) | CC | $0.31(0.17-0.57)$ | $\mathbf{2 . 0 e - 0 4}$ |
|  | TT+CT | $1.55(0.89-2.68)$ | 0.12 |
| rs61619102 (EXOC6B) | CC | $0.49(0.31-0.75)$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2 e - 0 3}$ |
|  | GG+GC | $2.72(1.17-6.28)$ | 0.02 |

After the stratification with each genotype of KIRREL3 or EXOC6B genes in FHS, Cox proportional hazards regression model was used to examine the relationship between CD34+CD133+ EPCs proportion (log transformed) and the AD risk adjusted by age, sex, and years of education, as well as APOE $\varepsilon 4$ and PCs

Table S6. Circulating CD34+CD133+ cells for Alzheimer's disease in the context of genetic background in FHS, stratified by each genotype (additive) adjusting for age, sex, years of education, APOE $\varepsilon 4$ and PCs

| rs4144611 (KIRREL3) |  |  |  | rs580382 (KIRREL3) |  |  |  | rs61619102 (EXOC6B) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| genotype | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CD34+CD133+ } \\ & \text { cutoffs } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HR } \\ (95 \% \mathrm{CI}) \end{gathered}$ | $P$ value | genotype | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CD34+CD133+ } \\ & \text { cutoffs } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HR } \\ (95 \% \mathrm{CI}) \end{gathered}$ | $P$ value | genotype | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CD34+CD133+ } \\ & \text { cutoffs } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HR } \\ (95 \% \mathrm{CI}) \end{gathered}$ | $P$ value |
| TT ( $\mathrm{N}=532$, $\mathrm{AD}=24$ ) | 25\% | $\begin{gathered} 0.18 \\ (0.07-0.46) \end{gathered}$ | 3.3e-04 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{C C} \\ & (\mathrm{N}=598, \\ & \mathrm{AD}=29) \end{aligned}$ | 25\% | $\begin{gathered} 0.21 \\ (0.09-0.47) \end{gathered}$ | 1.7e-04 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{C C} \\ & (\mathrm{N}=1023, \\ & \mathrm{AD}=44) \end{aligned}$ | 25\% | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.48 \\ (0.26-0.88) \end{gathered}$ | 0.02 |
|  | 50\% | $\begin{gathered} 0.11 \\ (0.03-0.42) \end{gathered}$ | 0.001 |  | 50\% | $\begin{gathered} 0.16 \\ (0.05-0.47) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 9.6e-04 |  | 50\% | $\begin{gathered} 0.38 \\ (0.19-0.74) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.005 |
|  | 75\% | $\begin{gathered} N / A \\ (\text { Zero AD) } \end{gathered}$ | 0.006* |  | 75\% | $\begin{gathered} N / A \\ (\text { Zero AD) } \end{gathered}$ | 0.002 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 75\% | $\begin{gathered} 0.25 \\ (0.08-0.81) \end{gathered}$ | 0.02 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { TG } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=702, \\ & \mathrm{AD}=22) \end{aligned}$ | 25\% | $\begin{gathered} 0.71 \\ (0.29-1.75) \end{gathered}$ | 0.46 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CT } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=670, \\ & \mathrm{AD}=17) \end{aligned}$ | 25\% | $\begin{gathered} 1.35 \\ (0.43-4.23) \end{gathered}$ | 0.60 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{G C} \\ & (\mathrm{N}=372, \\ & \mathrm{AD}=10) \end{aligned}$ | 25\% | $\begin{gathered} 1.50 \\ (0.27-8.45) \end{gathered}$ | 0.64 |
|  | 50\% | $\begin{gathered} 0.80 \\ (0.34-1.88) \end{gathered}$ | 0.60 |  | 50\% | $\begin{gathered} 1.23 \\ (0.46-3.27) \end{gathered}$ | 0.68 |  | 50\% | $\begin{gathered} 7.92 \\ (1.39-45.20) \end{gathered}$ | 0.02 |
|  | 75\% | $\begin{gathered} 1.16 \\ (0.43-3.10) \end{gathered}$ | 0.77 |  | 75\% | 1.65 $(0.60-4.58)$ | 0.33 |  | 75\% | $\begin{gathered} 5.31 \\ (1.39-20.28) \end{gathered}$ | 0.01 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{G G} \\ & (\mathrm{N}=208 \\ & \mathrm{AD}=10) \end{aligned}$ | 25\% | $\begin{gathered} 4.17 \\ (0.39-44.56) \end{gathered}$ | 0.24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TT } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=174, \\ & \mathrm{AD}=10) \end{aligned}$ | 25\% | $\begin{gathered} 3.82 \\ (0.35-41.05) \end{gathered}$ | 0.27 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{G G}^{\mathbf{b}} \\ & (\mathrm{N}=47, \\ & \mathrm{AD}=2) \end{aligned}$ | 25\% | $\begin{gathered} 0.00 \\ (0.00-\mathrm{lnf}) \end{gathered}$ | NA |
|  | 50\% | $\begin{gathered} \hline 20.27 \\ (1.71-240.88) \end{gathered}$ | 0.02 |  | 50\% | $\begin{gathered} 25.55 \\ (2.26-288.65) \end{gathered}$ | 0.009 |  | 50\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Infinite } \\ & (0.00-\operatorname{lnf}) \end{aligned}$ | NA |
|  | 75\% | $\begin{gathered} 2.31 \\ (0.53-10.13) \end{gathered}$ | 0.27 |  | 75\% | $\begin{gathered} 4.05 \\ (0.84-19.55) \end{gathered}$ | 0.08 |  | 75\% | $\begin{gathered} 0.39 \\ (0.00-\ln \mathrm{f}) \end{gathered}$ | NA |

Cox proportional hazards regression model, AD incidence $\sim(C D 34+$ CD133 + cutoffs $)+$ age + sex + years of education $+A P O E$
$\varepsilon 4+\mathrm{PCs}$, stratified by genotype.
a. When CD34+CD133+ higher than $75 \%$ percentile, there are no AD cases among these genotypes, so log-rank statistics is used instead to compare groups.
b. Not enough subjects to perform the analysis.

Table S7. Comparisons of incident Alzheimer's disease rates across CD34+CD133+ quartiles in the context of genetic background

| SNP (Gene) | Genotype | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Overall } \\ \text { 609] }[0.002,0 . \end{array}$ | Blood CD34+CD133+ Endothelia Progenitor Cells    <br> frequency, \%    <br> 1st Q    2nd Q $_{\text {Q }}$ 3rd Q $\quad$ 4th Q |  |  |  | $\mathbf{P}$-value ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ${ }_{(\%)} \mathrm{AD}, \mathrm{n}$ | ${ }_{(\%)} \mathrm{AD}, \mathrm{n}$ | ${ }_{(\%)} \mathrm{AD}, \mathrm{n}$ | ${ }_{(\%)} \mathrm{AD}, \mathrm{n}$ | ${ }_{(\%)} \mathrm{AD}, \mathrm{n}$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { (Ks4144611 } \\ (\text { IRREL3) } \end{gathered}$ | TT | $\begin{array}{r} 35 \\ (6.23 \%) \end{array}$ | $\frac{20}{(13.25 \%)}$ | 8 (5.52\%) | 7 (4.90\%) | 0 (0.00\%) | 8.8e-05 |
|  | TG | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ (4.11 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (6.95 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 4 (2.50\%) | 6 (3.02\%) | 7 (3.83\%) | 0.14 |
|  | GG | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ (6.45 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 1 (1.64\%) | 4 (7.41\%) | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (10.00 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 4 (7.69\%) | 0.30 |
| rs580382 (KIRREL3) | CC | $\begin{array}{r} 40 \\ (6.36 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ (14.55 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 8 (5.10\%) | 8 (4.85\%) | 0 (0.00\%) | $2.1 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
|  | CT | $\begin{array}{r} 28 \\ (4.01 \%) \end{array}$ | 9 (4.86\%) | 5 (3.21\%) | 6 (3.24\%) | 8 (4.62\%) | 0.79 |
|  | TT | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ (6.11 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 1 (2.04\%) | 3 (6.52\%) | 4 (9.52\%) | 3 (6.98\%) | 0.51 |
| $\underset{(\text { EXOC6B })}{\substack{\text { rs61619102 }}}$ | CC | $\begin{array}{r} 59 \\ (5.53 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31 \\ (10.23 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (5.51 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ (3.70 \%) \end{array}$ | 5 (1.94\%) | 1.1e-04 |
|  | GC | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ (4.60 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 3 (3.57\%) | 3 (2.68\%) | 7 (6.48\%) | 5 (5.75\%) | 0.52 |
|  | GG | 2 (4.08\%) | 0 (0.00\%) | 0 (0.00\%) | 1 (7.14\%) | 1 (8.33\%) | 0.60 |

a. Chi-squared test p-value.

The FHS participants were stratified by genotypes, rs4144611 (KIRREL3), rs580382 (KIRREL3) or rs61619102 (EXOC6B). The AD incident rates across CD34+CD133+ EPC quartiles were compared in each genotype by using Chi-squared test. P values are shown.

Figure S1. Flow chart of the study design


Figure S2. Q-Q plot of the GWAS analyses


[^1]Figure S3. The relationships between the KIRREL3 SNPs, gene expression, and Alzheimer's disease
A. The relationship between KIRREL3 expression and AD in Monocytes and Brain

| Outcome | Region | Gene | OR $(\mathbf{9 5 \%} \mathbf{~ C I})$ | P-value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AD | Monocytes | KIRREL3 | $0.80(0.51-1.25)$ | 0.32 |
| AD | Brain | KIRREL3 | $0.66(0.47-0.93)$ | 0.02 |

B. KIRREL3 mRNA levels at brain regions: AD vs. controls ${ }^{[1]}$

${ }^{[1]}$ Agora (https://agora.adknowledgeportal.org/genes)
C. Interaction between SNPs and CD34+CD133+ on AD risk in FHS ${ }^{[2]}$

| Interaction Effect |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Predictor } 1 \\ & \text { (SNP id) } \end{aligned}$ | Predictor 2 | Outcome | HR (95\% Cl) | P-value |
| rs4144611 | CD34+CD133+ | AD | 2.85 (1.77-4.57) | $1.5 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| rs580382 | CD34+CD133+ | AD | 3.89 (2.37-6.37) | 7.1e-08 |

${ }^{[2]}$ Cox proportional hazards regression model, AD incidence $\sim \mathrm{SNP}+(\mathrm{CD} 34+\mathrm{CD} 133+)+$ SNP:(CD34+CD133+) + age + sex + years of education + APOE $\varepsilon 4+$ PCs.

We hypothesized that the gene expression levels of KIRREL3 are involved in AD pathology, thus leading to their genotypes having interactive effects with circulating CD34+CD133+ endothelial progenitors for AD risk.
A. by using the ROSMAP dataset and logistic regression, the relationships between KIRREL3 expression in monocytes and brain and AD pathology are shown; B. KIRREL3 mRNA levels across brain regions were compared between AD and controls obtained from Agora; C. interaction between two KIRREL3 SNPs and CD34+CD133+ on AD risk in FHS. ACC = The anterior cingulate cortex; $\mathrm{CBE}=$ cerebellum; DLPF = The dorsolateral prefrontal cortex; $\mathrm{FP}=$ The frontal pole; $\mathrm{IFG}=$ The inferior frontal gyrus; $\mathrm{PCC}=$ The posterior cingulate cortex; PHG = The parahippocampal gyrus; STG = The superior temporal gyrus; TCX = The temporal cortex

Figure S4. The relationship between KIRREL3 expression, SNPs and methylation in the ROSMAP study
A. The relationship between KIRREL3 expression and the methylation site cg11751545 in the DLPFC region

B. The relationships between SNPs and DLPFC methylation in ROSMAP

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SNP } \\ & \text { id } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SNP } \\ & \text { chr } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SNP } \\ & \text { pos } \end{aligned}$ | A1 | A2 | feature <br> Name | feature <br> PositionStart | beta | se | P-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rs4144611 <br> (KIRREL3) | 11 | 126434673 | G | T | $\operatorname{cg} 11751545$ | 126434315 | -0.28 | 0.04 | $3.5 \mathrm{e}-11$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | cg04445570 | 126456651 | -0.10 | 0.04 | 0.02 |
| rs580382 <br> (KIRREL3) | 11 | 126438111 | T | C | cg11751545 | 126434315 | -0.28 | 0.04 | $1.9 \mathrm{e}-11$ |

## Figure S5. The relationships between the $\operatorname{EXOC} 6$ B SNPs, gene expression, and Alzheimer's disease


C. Interaction between SNP and CD34+CD133+ on AD risk in FHS ${ }^{[1]}$

| Interaction Effect |  |  | Outcome | HR (95\% CI) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| P-value |  |  |  |  |
| Predictor 1 (SNP id) | Predictor 2 |  |  |  |
|  | CD34+CD133+ | AD | $4.87(2.13-11.15)$ | $1.8 e-04$ |

We hypothesized that the gene expression levels of EXOC6B are involved in AD pathology, thus leading to their genotypes having interactive effects with circulating CD34+CD133+ endothelial progenitors for AD risk.
A. by using the ROSMAP dataset and logistic regression, the relationships between EXOC6B expression in monocytes and brain and AD pathology are shown, thus indicating that high peripheral expression of EXOC6B expression was significantly associated with AD risk; B. peripheral eQTL results for the EXOC6B genotype in two types of adipose tissues from GTEx are illustrated, thus suggesting that the EXOC6B cc allele with low peripheral expression and AD risk can be rescued by circulating CD34+CD133+ endothelial progenitor cells for AD risk; C. the interaction between the EXOC6B SNP and CD34+CD133+ cells impacted AD risk in FHS.


[^0]:    Abbreviations: HR = hazard ratio
    Cox proportional hazards regression models were used to study the relationship between Log transformed CD34+CD133+ cell numbers per mL and the risk of Alzheimer's disease (AD) or all-cause dementia after adjusting for the covariates. HR with 95\% confidence interval ( $95 \%$ CI) with $p$ values are shown.
    Model 1: simple association without confounders
    Model 2: Adjusting for age, sex and education
    Model 3: Model $2+$ APOE $\varepsilon 4+$ vascular diseases
    a. Model 3 after the stratification for those with no CHD, no HTN, no stroke, no silent infarct, no CMB, and low level of WMHI.
    b. Model 3 after the stratification for those with peripheral vascular diseases, e.g., CHD or HTN.
    c. Model 3 after the stratification for those with cerebrovascular diseases, e.g., Stroke, silent infarct, CMB or high level of WMHI.

[^1]:    Genomic inflation ( $\lambda=1.15$ before genomic control)

