

Open Access Original Article



Treatment of a non-healing oral wound in diabetic-induced rats

Lia Karalashvili^{1*}[®], David Chakhunashvili¹[®], Mariam Kakabadze²[®], Teona Paresishvili¹[®], Zurab Kakabadze¹[®]

¹Department of clinical anatomy, Tbilisi Sate Medical University, Tbilisi 0186, Georgia ²Department of anatomy, Ivane Javakhisvili Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi 0179, Georgia

*Correspondence: Lia Karalashvili, Department of clinical anatomy, Tbilisi Sate Medical University, Vazha-Pshavele 33 Ave, Tbilisi, GE 0186, Georgia. likakaralashvili@gmail.com Academic Editor: Yongjian Geng, the University of Texas, USA Received: May 3, 2023 Accepted: November 6, 2023 Published: December 8, 2023

Cite this article: Karalashvili L, Chakhunashvili D, Kakabadze M, Paresishvili T, Kakabadze Z. Treatment of a non-healing oral wound in diabetic-induced rats. Explor Immunol. 2023;3:565–73. https://doi.org/10.37349/ei.2023.00121

Abstract

Aim: Non-healing wounds are one of the most substantial and difficult problems to treat. Wound healing involves a sequence of complex biological processes, but often the oral cavity microbiota adversely affects healing and forms a chronic non-healing wound.

Methods: In this study, a biologically active membrane (BAM) is present, consisting of decellularized human amniotic membrane and bone marrow stem cells (BMSCs). The efficacy of BAM was evaluated in a model of non-healing oral wounds in rats with streptozotocin (STZ)-induced diabetes mellitus.

Results: Studies have shown that BAM enhanced the healing of chronic oral wounds in animals with induced diabetes mellitus, reduced scarring, and reduced risk of infection. Paracrine freeze-dried BMSCs stimulated angiogenesis and improved wound conditions.

Conclusions: BMSCs may lower glucose levels in rats with STZ-induced diabetes mellitus and improve the healing process of chronic diseases. However, more studies are needed to study the paracrine factors of BMSCs and their role in the treatment of non-healing wounds.

Keywords

Chronic non-healing wounds, stem cells, amniotic membrane, oral cavity, diabetes mellitus

Introduction

Chronic, non-healing wounds are a common problem that can occur for a variety of reasons, including chronic systemic disease, circulatory problems, and a weakened immune system. In the USA, it is reported that chronic wounds affect approximately 6.5 million patients [1].

Chronic non-healing wounds are wounds that do not heal for several weeks despite standard treatment [2, 3]. As is known, wound healing involves a sequence of complex biological processes and can often be surrounded by a hostile environment that adversely affects healing and forms a chronic non-healing wound. Pain caused by chronic oral wounds requires constant treatment and care, which is time-consuming

© The Author(s) 2023. This is an Open Access article licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, for any purpose, even commercially, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.



for patients and healthcare workers, and increases healthcare costs [4, 5]. Non-healing wounds, as well as oral ulcers, can occur as a result of various systemic diseases. For example, elevated blood glucose levels in diabetes mellitus can lead to the formation of chronic wounds and oral ulcers [6–8]. Dry mouth (xerostomia), caries (including root caries), periapical lesions, gingivitis, periodontal disease, oral candidiasis, burning mouth (especially glossodynia), oral lichen planus (OLP), recurrent aphthous stomatitis in patients with diabetes mellitus can cause the development of chronic oral wounds and complicate the process of their healing [9–14]. Thus, patients with diabetes are at a higher risk of developing non-healing oral wounds.

Currently, various methods are used for the treatment of non-healing oral wounds in patients with diabetes mellitus, including blood glucose control, wound debridement, periodontal surgery (flap surgery or gingivectomy) [15], hyperbaric oxygen therapy, various dressings for wounds, which may be loaded with antimicrobial agents, growth factors, or other substances that promote wound healing [16–21]. Each of these methods has its own advantages and disadvantages. However, our attention was drawn to the human amniotic membrane (HAM), which is effectively used in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine. HAM contains growth factors and cytokines that can stimulate the growth of new tissues and blood vessels, which can lead to faster wound healing. HAM also contains several anti-inflammatory cytokines that can reduce inflammation and create a more conducive environment for wound healing. Additionally, HAM is biocompatible, which will not elicit an immune response when used for wound healing. In recent years, HAM has been reported to be used for promoting anterior auricle reconstruction [22, 23], as an allograft in hand surgery [24], for ocular surface disorders [25–27], for repair of injured common peroneal nerve [28] and others. There are reports of the use of cryo-preserved and decellularized HAM (dHAM) grafts in the therapy of chronic non-healing wounds [29–31]. We have reported the use of HAM in the clinic in a patient for closing post-laryngectomy of pharyngocutaneous fistulas [32]. We also have used HAM in preclinical studies for the treatment of non-healing wounds after radiation therapy [33].

In this study, we are presenting a biologically active membrane (BAM) that we developed, consisting of dHAM and bone marrow stem cells (BMSCs). The efficacy of BAM was evaluated in a model of non-healing oral wounds in rats with induced diabetes mellitus.

Materials and methods

Preparation of decellularized and lyophilized HAM

The amniotic membrane was obtained from the human placenta in accordance with our previously described method [34]. Briefly, the study was conducted on 2 full-term placentas from donors who signed an informed consent form and delivered at 37–41 weeks of gestation. This research is permitted by the ethics committee of the Tbilisi State Medical University (Tbilisi, Georgia; #128/02). All donors had normal pregnancies and gave birth to healthy newborns weighing from 2,300 g to 3,900 g. Newly obtained placentas were washed with 0.9% saline and heparin at 37°C under physiological pressure through the umbilical arteries and veins. After washing, the placenta was frozen at -80°C. Frozen placentas were thawed at 40°C and washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, Sigma) overnight by perfusion through the placental arteries. Placental decellularization was perfused with sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS, Sigma) in distilled water for 72 h, starting with 0.01% SDS for 24 h, then 0.1% SDS for 24 h, and 1% SDS for 24 h. Placentas were then washed with distilled water for 15 min and 1% Triton X-100 (Sigma) for 30 min to remove residual SDS. After decellularization of the placenta, the amniotic membrane was excised, cut into small 3 cm × 3 cm flaps, and treated with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Sigma), 1% streptomycin (Sigma). After that, the amniotic membrane flaps were placed in a lyophilization apparatus (PowerDry PL6000 Freeze Dryers, China). Lyophilized amniotic membranes were stored under serial conditions until use.

Fabrication of BAM from dHAM and BMSCs

Five laboratory Lewis rats of both sexes weighing from 200 g to 250 g were used to obtain BMSCs [33, 34]. The Ethics Committee of the Georgian National Institute of Medical Research approved the animal protocols (permit 22-14). Animals were euthanized by lethal injection of 0.5% sodium thiopental solution (Sigma, St.

Louis, MO, USA). The lower limbs were amputated after treatment with a 70% alcohol solution. The thighs, cleared of muscle tissue, were resected in the area of the epiphysis and diaphysis. A needle was inserted into the lumen of the bone canal and the bone marrow was eluted using a syringe with Dulbecco's modified eagle's medium (Sigma). The mononuclear fraction was isolated by density gradient centrifugation at 400 g for 30 min at room temperature using Ficoll Paque Plus or Ficoll Paque Premium solution (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences, Pittsburgh, PA, USA). After washing with PBS, the cells were centrifuged at 200 g for 5 min. Some of the cells were dissolved in 1 mL of PBS and then, they were placed in a Neubauer chamber, and viability was determined using trypan blue (Sigma).

Thereafter, the decellularized and lyophilized HAM was placed in a sterile PBS solution for 30 min. Amniotic membranes washed in PBS solution were foamed into Petri dishes filled with RPMI 1640 medium (Sigma-Aldrich; EMD Millipore, St. Louis, Missouri, USA), and their surface was loaded with 2.5 × 106 mononuclear stem cells. After 15 min, the amniotic membrane was turned over and the same number of cells was seeded on the opposite side. To ensure uniform cell distribution, this process was repeated every 15 min for 1 h. Further, amniotic membranes loaded with BMSCs were cultured in a humidified incubator (37° C, 5% CO₂) for 4 days in a medium containing Dulbecco's modified eagle's low glucose medium (Sigma-Aldrich; EMD Millipore) supplemented with 10% FBS (Sigma-Aldrich; EMD Millipore) and antibiotics (100 U/mL penicillin G and 0.1 mg/mL streptomycin; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). After incubation, an HAM loaded with BMSCs was used to close a rat oral wound model.

Experiment design

Animals

In total, 50 Lewis laboratory rats (8 weeks old, weight 200–250 g) were used to create a model of a nonhealing oral wound. The animals were purchased from the vivarium of the Tbilisi State Medical University (Tbilisi, Georgia). Rats were kept under controlled conditions at 24°C ± 2°C with a 12-h light-dark cycle and with free access to water and food.

The studies were carried out in accordance with the recommendations of the Committee for the Care and Use of Animals of the Tbilisi State Medical University. A recognized institutional review board at the Tbilisi State Medical University approved all animal protocols.

Diabetes induction

Animals acclimatized for 1 week prior to induction of diabetes and were divided into 3 groups. In the animals of the first and second groups, diabetes was induced by intraperitoneal injection of 65 mg/kg streptozotocin (STZ; Sigma, Steinheim, Germany). Only the animals with blood glucose levels \geq 200 mg/dL were included in the study.

Oral wound model and treatment

After 15 days, a rounded 8 mm diameter wound was formed on the gingival surface of the molars in all animals using scissors (the area of the wound was ~50 mm²). In the animals of the first group (n = 15) that had induced diabetes, the gingival defect was closed with a 12 mm diameter BAM, which was fixed with atraumatic sutures (7/0, Ethicon). Animals of the second group (n = 15), which also had induced diabetes with gingival defects, were observed without treatment. Surgical manipulations were performed using microsurgical techniques and an operating microscope (Zeiss, Germany). Animals of the third (control) group (n = 15) were intraperitoneally injected with citrate buffer and a gingival defect was formed. Animals of the third group were observed and received no treatment. The remaining animals (n = 5) served as donors for obtaining BMSCs. All manipulations were performed under anesthesia by intraperitoneal administration of ketamine (60–100 mg/kg) and xylazine (8–10 mg/kg).

In the postoperative period, all animals were observed under standard vivarium conditions. Blood glucose levels were measured daily during the first week after induction, then twice a week until the end of the study. Animals were weighed at various times both before and after diabetes induction.

The rats were euthanized at days 3, 9, 15, 25, and 40, using a combination of intraperitoneal injection of ketamine and xylazine, with subsequent laparotomy, aorta rupture, and exsanguinations. At autopsy, the area of the wound was measured with a caliper and calculated by the formula: $S = \pi d^2/4$ (S: wound area; d: wound diameter).

Histological examination

The native and BAM samples, including surrounding tissues around the wound, were excised using sterile surgical scissors at various times after the operation and fixed overnight in 10% buffered formalin and then, embedded in paraffin and sectioned at 3 μ m. Sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin and Masson's trichrome. Histological preparations were analyzed using a light microscope (E100; Nikon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) and a stereoscopic microscope (MBS-9; Lomo, St. Petersburg, Russia).

Scanning electron microscopy

Scanning electron microscopy was used to assess the structure of the dHAM. To do this, the amniotic membrane, both before and after decellularization, was dehydrated by treating them with an ethanol solution before drying with a Tousimis Samdri-780 Critical Point Dryer (Tousimis Research Corporation, Rockville, MD, USA). After drying was complete, all tissues were lightly gold-coated and visualized with a JEOL JSM-65 10 scanning electron microscope (JEOL Ltd, Tokyo, Japan).

Results

All animals tolerated the operation and postoperative treatment well. The conducted studies showed that compared with the animals of the first and control groups, the animals of the second group had a significant delay in wound healing. Studies have shown that in animals of the control and first groups, the wound healed completely on days 8–10 after modeling, as evidenced by measurements of the area of the wounds (Figure 1). Animals of the second group showed a significant decrease in the rate of wound healing. Blood glucose level after modeling diabetes mellitus is shown in Figure 2. It should also be noted that, in relation to the initial values, the loss of body weight was observed both in the animals of the first and in the animals of the second groups. In the first three days, in most animals, BAM adhered tightly to the surface of the wound. However, in some animals of the first group, suture divergences were noted. Histological studies showed edema and cell infiltration of the wound area on days 2-3 from modeling. At the same time, in the animals of the second group, edema of the surrounding tissues with exudative inflammation was noted. Necrotic tissues with purulent inflammation were determined in the wound. In the following days, the wound took on a chronic course. In the animals of the second group, complete healing of the wound was observed on the 25–30th day from modeling. In the animals of the first group, on the third day, the edges of the membrane were raised, and tender granulation tissue was already determined in the wound. At the same time, the process of BAM delamination was observed. One part of the one that faced BAM oral cavity was rejected, and the other part was involved in the re-epithelialization of the wound. On the fifth day, the formation of new vessels and cellular elements of the gingival epithelium was observed in the wound. On days 10–12, the wound was closed, and the newly formed tissue did not differ from the norm in shape and color (Figure 3). There was also a thickening of the epithelial layer of the gums with mild keratinization. A similar picture was observed in the animals of the third group, but their wound healed on days 8–10.

Discussion

Non-healed wounds are the result of a complex interaction of biological and environmental factors. A cascade of events involving various signaling pathways, growth factors, and extracellular matrix (ECM) components regulates the healing process. One of the main factors contributing to the development of a long-term non-healing wound is a violation of angiogenesis, which may be associated with a decrease in the level of growth factors such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF). Another important factor is chronic inflammation, which persists in chronic wounds for a long period, leading to increased levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines and matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs).





Figure 1. Wound healing rate



Figure 2. Blood glucose levels after induction of diabetes mellitus and initiation of treatment



Figure 3. Oral wound model and treatment methods. (A) dHAM; (B) dHAM loaded with BMSCs and placed in Dulbecco's modified eagle's low glucose medium; (C) HAM before decellularization. Staining HE, ×400; (D) HAM after decellularization. Staining hematoxylin with eosin ×400; (E) and (F) scanning electron microscopy of dHAM before and after loading of BMSCs; (G) mouth wound model; (H) the wound is covered with BAM. The observation period is 3 days; (I) wound healing process. The observation period is 5 days; (J) BAM is determined in the wound. The observation period is 8 days; (K) and (L) chronic wound of the oral cavity of animals of the second group with induced diabetes mellitus without treatment. The observation period is 8 and 14 days; (M) leukocyte infiltration of the wound with purulent exudate. Chronic wound of the oral cavity of animals of the second group with induced with HE, ×400. Observation period is 8 days; (N) the wound is covered with BAM. The observation period is 3 days; (N) the wound is covered with BAM. The observation period is 3 days; (N) the wound is covered with BAM. The observation period is 3 days; (N) the wound is covered with BAM. The observation period is 3 days; tained with HE, ×200; (P) in animals of the first group, the wound healed without complications. The observation period is 12 days; stained with HE, ×200

These molecules can degrade the ECM, interfere with cell migration, and inhibit angiogenesis. In addition, a factor contributing to the development of non-healing wounds is violation of the structure of the ECM. ECM provides structural support to cells and tissues and plays an important role in the regulation of cellular behavior. In non-healing wounds, the ECM often changes due to the overproduction of MMPs, which can degrade collagen and other matrix components. When treating a non-healing wound, it is important to understand the involved molecular mechanisms and to consider the underlying factors that contribute to healing impairment. Our studies have shown that compared to animals of the first and control groups, in the animals of the second group, in which the animals with diabetes mellitus received no treatment, there was a significant delay in wound healing. In the animals of the second group, edema of the surrounding tissues was simultaneously noted with exudative inflammation. Necrotic tissues with purulent inflammation were determined in the wound. In the following days, the wound took on a chronic course. On the 25th–30th day from modeling, complete wound healing was observed in the animals of the second group. Studies have also shown that, in animals of the control and first groups, the wounds completely healed on days 8–10 after modeling. In animals of the first group, on the third day, the edges of the membrane were raised, and tender granulation tissue was already determined in the wound. In this case, the process of BAM stratification was observed. One part of the BAM facing the oral cavity was rejected, while the other part participated in the re-epithelialization of the wound. On the fifth day, the formation of new vessels and cellular elements of the gingival epithelium was observed in the wound.

It is necessary to note the level of glucose in the blood of animals after treatment. As our studies have shown, after the application of BAM with loaded BMSCs, there was a decrease in the blood glucose level in the animals of the first group. We think that this was due to the paracrine factors of BMSCs. We agree with the authors that mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) have differentiation potential, immunosuppressive properties, and anti-inflammatory effects, and are considered an ideal candidate cell type for the treatment of diabetes mellitus [35].

The authors report that MSC therapy of STZ-induced diabetic developing rats during an early stage has the capacity of β cell restoration and the control of blood glycemic homeostasis [36].

A preclinical study for the treatment of diabetes mellitus using β -like cells derived from human dental pulp stem cells has also been reported [37]. It is interesting to note that early intervention with MSCs prevented renal injury via immune regulation in diabetic rats, which restored the homeostasis of the immune microenvironment, contributing to the prevention of kidney dysfunction and glomerulosclerosis [38].

Thus, the results of the study showed that BAM improved the healing of chronic oral wounds in animals with induced diabetes mellitus, reduced scarring and risk of infection. Paracrine freeze-dried BMSCs stimulated angiogenesis and improved wound conditions. In addition, BMSCs may lower glucose levels in diabetics and improve the healing process of chronic diseases. However, more studies are needed to study the paracrine factors of BMSCs and their role in the treatment of non-healing wounds.

Abbreviations

BAM: biologically active membrane BMSCs: bone marrow stem cells dHAM: decellularized human amniotic membrane ECM: extracellular matrix HAM: human amniotic membrane MSCs: mesenchymal stem cells PBS: phosphate-buffered saline SDS: sodium dodecyl sulfate STZ: streptozotocin

Declarations

Author contributions

LK: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing—original draft, Project administration, Methodology, Data curation, Validation. ZK: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing—original draft, Writing—review & editing, Validation. DC: Writing—review & editing, Supervision. MK and TP: Resources, Data curation. All authors read and approved the submitted version.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Ethical approval

Preparation of decellularized and lyophilized human amniotic membrane is approved by ethics committee of the Tbilisi State Medical University (Tbilisi, Georgia; #128/02). The Ethics Committee of the Georgian National Institute of Medical Research approved the animal protocols (Permit 22-14). The study is conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Consent to participate

Informed consent to participate in the study was obtained from all participants.

Consent to publication

No applicable.

Availability of data and materials

All datasets generated for this study are included in the manuscript.

Funding

Not applicable.

Copyright

© The Author(s) 2023.

References

- 1. Järbrink K, Ni G, Sönnergren H, Schmidtchen A, Pang C, Bajpai R, et al. Prevalence and incidence of chronic wounds and related complications: a protocol for a systematic review. Syst Rev. 2016;5:152.
- Karalashvili L, Mardaleishvili K, Uhryn M, Chakhunashvili D, Kakabadze Z. Current condition and challenges in treatment of non-healing wound after radiation therapy (review). Georgian Med News. 2018:23–8.
- 3. Lazarus GS, Cooper DM, Knighton DR, Margolis DJ, Pecoraro RE, Rodeheaver G, et al. Definitions and guidelines for assessment of wounds and evaluation of healing. Arch Dermatol. 1994;130:489–93.
- 4. Roh JL, Jang H, Lee J, Kim EH, Shin D. Promotion of oral surgical wound healing using autologous mucosal cell sheets. Oral Oncol. 2017;69:84–91.
- 5. Järbrink K, Ni G, Sönnergren H, Schmidtchen A, Pang C, Bajpai R, et al. The humanistic and economic burden of chronic wounds: a protocol for a systematic review. Syst Rev. 2017;6:15.
- 6. Llambés F, Arias-Herrera S, Caffesse R. Relationship between diabetes and periodontal infection. World J Diabetes. 2015;6:927–35.
- 7. Negrato CA, Tarzia O. Buccal alterations in diabetes mellitus. Diabetol Metab Syndr. 2010;2:3.
- 8. Rohani B. Oral manifestations in patients with diabetes mellitus. World J Diabetes. 2019;10:485–9.
- 9. Cicmil S, Mladenović I, Krunić J, Ivanović D, Stojanović N. Oral alterations in diabetes mellitus. Balk J Dent Med. 2018;22:7–14.
- 10. Indurkar MS, Maurya AS, Indurkar S. Oral manifestations of diabetes. Clin Diabetes. 2016;34:54–7.
- 11. Cicmil A, Govedarica O, Lečić J, Mališ S, Cicmil S, Čakić S. Oral symptoms and mucosal lesions in patients with diabetes mellitus type 2. Balk J Dent Med. 2017;21:50–4.
- 12. Mauri-Obradors E, Estrugo-Devesa A, Jané-Salas E, Viñas M, López-López J. Oral manifestations of diabetes mellitus. A systematic review. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 2017;22:e586–94.
- 13. Trentin MS, Verardi G, De C Ferreira M, de Carli JP, da Silva SO, Lima IF, et al. Most frequent oral lesions in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. J Contemp Dent Pract. 2017;18:107–11.
- 14. Khan T. Oral manifestations and complications of diabetes mellitus: a review. Int J Med Health Res. 2018;4:50–2.
- 15. Simpson TC, Weldon JC, Worthington HV, Needleman I, Wild SH, Moles DR, et al. Treatment of periodontal disease for glycaemic control in people with diabetes mellitus. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015;2015:CD004714.
- 16. Martí-Carvajal AJ, Gluud C, Nicola S, Simancas-Racines D, Reveiz L, Oliva P, et al. Growth factors for treating diabetic foot ulcers. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015;2015:CD008548.
- 17. Laiva AL, O'Brien FJ, Keogh MB. Innovations in gene and growth factor delivery systems for diabetic wound healing. J Tissue Eng Regen Med. 2018;12:e296–312.

- 18. Tan TE, Sivaprasad S, Wong TY. Anti-vascular endothelial growth factor therapy for complications of diabetic retinopathy—from treatment to prevention? JAMA Ophthalmol. 2023;141:223–5.
- 19. Nik Hisamuddin NAR, Wan Mohd Zahiruddin WN, Mohd Yazid B, Rahmah S. Use of hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBOT) in chronic diabetic wound a randomised trial. Med J Malaysia. 2019;74:418–24.
- 20. Sharma R, Sharma SK, Mudgal SK, Jelly P, Thakur K. Efficacy of hyperbaric oxygen therapy for diabetic foot ulcer, a systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled clinical trials. Sci Rep. 2021;11:2189.
- 21. Kranke P, Bennett MH, Martyn-St James M, Schnabel A, Debus SE, Weibel S. Hyperbaric oxygen therapy for chronic wounds. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015;2015:CD004123.
- 22. Schmiedova I, Dembickaja A, Kiselakova L, Nowakova B, Slama P. Using of amniotic membrane derivatives for the treatment of chronic wounds. Membranes (Basel). 2021;11:941.
- 23. Chen Y, Lyu L, Xue S. Evaluation of human acellular amniotic membrane for promoting anterior auricle reconstruction. Exp Dermatol. 2022;31:823–4.
- 24. McClendon DC, Su J, Smith DW. Human amniotic allograft in hand surgery. J Hand Surg Am. 2023;48: 388–95.
- 25. Malhotra C, Jain AK. Human amniotic membrane transplantation: different modalities of its use in ophthalmology. World J Transplant. 2014;4:111–21.
- 26. Munoz-Torres JR, Martínez-González SB, Lozano-Luján AD, Martínez-Vázquez MC, Velasco-Elizondo P, Garza-Veloz I, et al. Biological properties and surgical applications of the human amniotic membrane. Front Bioeng Biotechnol. 2023;10:1067480.
- 27. Mead OG, Tighe S, Tseng SCG. Amniotic membrane transplantation for managing dry eye and neurotrophic keratitis. Taiwan J Ophthalmol. 2020;10:13–21.
- 28. Zhang ZY, Yang J, Fan ZH, Wang DL, Wang YY, Zhang T, et al. Fresh human amniotic membrane effectively promotes the repair of injured common peroneal nerve. Neural Regen Res. 2019;14: 2199–208.
- 29. Ilic D, Vicovac L, Nikolic M, Lazic Ilic E. Human amniotic membrane grafts in therapy of chronic non-healing wounds. Br Med Bull. 2016;117:59–67.
- 30. Ditmars FS, Lind RA, Broderick TC, Fagg WS. Safety and efficacy of acellular human amniotic fluid and membrane in the treatment of non-healing wounds in a patient with chronic venous insufficiency. SAGE Open Med Case Rep. 2022;10:2050313X221100882.
- 31. Joshi CJ, Hassan A, Carabano M, Galiano RD. Up-to-date role of the dehydrated human amnion/chorion membrane (AMNIOFIX) for wound healing. Expert Opin Biol Ther. 2020;20:1125–31.
- 32. Kakabadze Z, Mardaleishvili K, Loladze G, Javakhishvili I, Chakhunasvili K, Karalashvili L, et al. Clinical application of decellularized and lyophilized human amnion/chorion membrane grafts for closing post-laryngectomy pharyngocutaneous fistulas. J Surg Oncol. 2016;113:538–43.
- 33. Kakabadze Z, Chakhunashvili D, Gogilashvili K, Ediberidze K, Chakhunashvili K, Kalandarishvili K, et al. Bone marrow stem cell and decellularized human amniotic membrane for the treatment of nonhealing wound after radiation therapy. Exp Clin Transplant. 2019;17:92–8.
- 34. Karalashvili L, Kakabadze A, Vyshnevska G, Kakabadze Z. Acellular human amniotic membrane as a three-dimensional scaffold for the treatment of mucogingival defects. Georgian Med News. 2015:84–9.
- 35. Zang L, Hao H, Liu J, Li Y, Han W, Mu Y. Mesenchymal stem cell therapy in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetol Metab Syndr. 2017;9:36.
- 36. Yousef HN, Sakr SM, Sabry SA. Mesenchymal stem cells ameliorate hyperglycemia in type I diabetic developing male rats. Stem Cells Int. 2022;2022:7556278.
- 37. Inada R, Mendoza HY, Tanaka T, Horie T, Satomi T. Preclinical study for the treatment of diabetes mellitus using β-like cells derived from human dental pulp stem cells. Regen Med. 2022;17:905–13.
- 38. Li Y, Liu J, Liao G, Zhang J, Chen Y, Li L, et al. Early intervention with mesenchymal stem cells prevents nephropathy in diabetic rats by ameliorating the inflammatory microenvironment. Int J Mol Med. 2018;41:2629–39.