# **Exploration of Targeted Anti-tumor Therapy**



Open Access Review



# Research progress in molecular pathology markers in medulloblastoma

Zixuan Zhou<sup>1†</sup>, Bingxin Zhu<sup>2†</sup>, Qingming Meng<sup>1</sup>, Tong Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Yihao Wu<sup>1</sup>, Rutong Yu<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Shangfeng Gao<sup>1\*</sup>

**Academic Editor:** Chunsheng Kang, Tianjin Medical University General Hospital, China **Received:** August 26, 2022 **Accepted:** December 22, 2022 **Published:** February 28, 2023

**Cite this article:** Zhou Z, Zhu B, Meng Q, Zhang T, Wu Y, Yu R, et al. Research progress in molecular pathology markers in medulloblastoma. Explor Target Antitumor Ther. 2023;4:139–56. https://doi.org/10.37349/etat.2023.00126

## **Abstract**

Medulloblastoma (MB) is the commonest primary malignant brain cancer. The current treatment of MB is usually surgical resection combined with radiotherapy or chemotherapy. Although great progress has been made in the clinical management of MB, tumor metastasis and recurrence are still the main cause of death. Therefore, definitive and timely diagnosis is of great importance for improving therapeutic effects on MB. In 2016, the World Health Organization (WHO) divided MB into four subtypes: wingless-type mouse mammary tumor virus integration site (WNT), sonic hedgehog (SHH), non-WNT/non-SHH group 3, and group 4. Each subtype of MB has a unique profile in copy number variation, DNA alteration, gene transcription, or post-transcriptional/translational modification, all of which are associated with different biological manifestations, clinical features, and prognosis. This article reviewed the research progress of different molecular pathology markers in MB and summarized some targeted drugs against these molecular markers, hoping to stimulate the clinical application of these molecular markers in the classification, diagnosis, and treatment of MB.

# **Keywords**

Medulloblastoma, molecular pathology, children, diagnosis, targeted therapy

## Introduction

Medulloblastoma (MB) is a kind of embryonal neuroepithelial tumor and the commonest primary malignant tumor of the central nervous system (CNS) in children, accounting for approximately 20% of children's brain tumors [1]. It mostly occurs in the cerebellum or dorsal brainstem [2, 3]. MB is more common in males, with a male-to-female ratio of about 1.5:1 [4]. The World Health Organization (WHO)

© The Author(s) 2023. This is an Open Access article licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, for any purpose, even commercially, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Neurosurgery, Institute of Nervous System Diseases, The Affiliated Hospital of Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou 221002, Jiangsu, China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Neurosurgery, Xuzhou Children's Hospital, Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou 221002, Jiangsu, China <sup>†</sup>These authors contributed equally to the work.

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: Rutong Yu, Department of Neurosurgery, Institute of Nervous System Diseases, The Affiliated Hospital of Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou 221002, Jiangsu, China; Department of Neurosurgery, Xuzhou Children's Hospital, Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou 221002, Jiangsu, China. yu.rutong@163.com; Shangfeng Gao, Department of Neurosurgery, Institute of Nervous System Diseases, The Affiliated Hospital of Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou 221002, Jiangsu, China. gaoshangfeng@xzhmu.edu.cn

classified five histological subtypes of MB in 2007: classic, desmoplastic/nodular, extensive nodularity, large cell, and anaplastic MB [5]. In 2016, WHO released the CNS tumor classification, dividing MB into four main molecular subtypes: wingless-type mouse mammary tumor virus integration site (WNT), sonic hedgehog [SHH; tumor protein P53 (*TP53*) mutant and *TP53* wild-type], group 3, and group 4 [6, 7]. In the new version of WHO classification of the CNS tumors, MBs not belonging to the WNT or SHH group are designated as "non-WNT/non-SHH", which is a combination of the established molecular subgroups "non-WNT/non-SHH group 3" and "non-WNT/non-SHH group 4" [8]. This large category accounts for the majority of MB cases.

Each of the four subtypes has a unique genetic and epigenetic profile, which is associated with different demography and clinical features (Table 1) [2, 9–12]. Schwalbe et al. [12] divided MB into seven subclassifications, of which the WNT MB remained unchanged. The SHH MB was split into age-dependent subgroups, infant (< 4.3 years) and child ( $\geq$  4.3 years). The group 3 MB and the group 4 MB were respectively split into high-risk and low-risk subgroups. Cavalli et al. [11] divided MB into twelve subclassifications. The WNT MBs were split into α (ubiquitous monosomy 6) and β (chromosome 6 intact) subgroups. The SHH MBs were categorized into SHH α, SHH β, SHH γ, and SHH δ subtypes based on DNA methylation profiling. SHH β and SHH γ affect predominantly infants, whereas SHH α and SHH δ mostly affect children and adults, respectively. SHH α and SHH β have greater metastatic potential in comparison with SHH γ and SHH δ subtypes. The group 3 MB and the group 4 MB were respectively split into α, β, and γ subtypes. The group 3 γ MB has a poorer prognosis than the other two subgroups, while the other three subtypes of group 4 MB have similar prognostic profiles and different genetic alterations.

Table 1. Demography, clinical and molecular characteristics of MB

Subgroup Subtype		WNT		SHH			Non-WNT/non-SHH group 3		Non-WNT/non-SHH group 4				
		WNT α	WNT β	SHH α	SHH β	SHH Y	SHH δ	Group 3α	Group 3β	Group 3γ	Group 4α	Group 4β	Group 4γ
Demography and clinical features	Age at diagnosis (years, median)	10	20	8	1.9	1.33	26	4.82	7.55	5	8.22	10	7
	Subtype proportion (%)	70	30	29.1	15.7	21.1	34.1	46.5	25.7	27.8	30.1	33.4	36.5
	Metastases (%)	8.6	21.4	20	33	8.9	9.4	43.4	20	39.4	40	40.7	38.7
	Survival rate (5 years, %)	97	100	69.8	67.3	88	88.5	66.2	55.8	41.9	66.8	75.4	82.5
Molecular features	Gene mutation	CTNN TP53, DDX3 MLL2	X,	PTCH1, SMO, SUFU, TP53, DDX3X, CREBBP, MLL2/3, TERT, KDM6A			TERT, KDM6A		TERT, KDM6A				
	Gene modification	TNRC methy		TNRC6C methy, MXI1 methy, IL8 methy			TNRC6C methy, MXI1 methy, IL8 methy		TNRC6C methy, MXI1 methy, IL8 methy, Lmx1A enhancer activation, PRDM6 induction				
	Copy number variation	OTX2 CDK6		MYCN amp, CDK6 amp, PTEN loss, GLI2 amp		MYC amp, OTX2 amp, CDK6 amp, KDM6A loss, KBTBD4 insertion		MYCN amp, OTX2 amp, CDK6 amp, PTEN loss, KDM6A loss, KBTBD4 insertion					
	miRNA profile	miR-1 miR-2	,	miR-206		miR-592, miR-182, miR-193a, miR-183, miR-206		miR-592, miR-182, miR-183, miR-206					
	Other events	-		-			GFI1/GFI1B activation, MYC acetylation, and phosphorylation		GFI1/GFI1B activation, ERBB4-SRC activation				
Potential targeted drugs		WNT/ cateni inhibit	n	SMO inhibitor, GLI inhibitor, PI3K inhibitor, CDK4/6 inhibitor		CDK4/6 inhibitor, MYC inhibitor		CDK4/6 inhibitor					

amp: amplification; CDK6: cyclin-dependent kinases 6; CREBBP: cAMP-response element binding protein (CREB)-binding protein; CTNNB1: cadherin-associated protein beta 1; DDX3X: DEAD-box helicase 3 X-linked; ERBB4-SRC: Erb-b2 receptor tyrosine kinase 4 (ERBB4)-proto-oncogene tyrosine-protein kinase SRC (SRC); GFI1: growth factor independent 1; GLI2: glioma-associated oncogene homolog 2; IL8: interleukin 8; KBTBD4: Kelch repeat and broad-complex, tramtrack, and bric-

a-brac domain containing 4; *KDM6A*: lysine-specific demethylase 6A; *Lmx1A*: LIM homeobox transcription factor 1, alpha; methy: methylation; miRNA: microRNA; *MLL2/3*: mixed-lineage leukemia 2/3; *MXI1*: max interactor 1; *MYC*: myelocytomatosis oncogene; *MYCN*: neuroblastoma derived MYC; *OTX2*: orthodenticle homeobox 2; *PI3K*: phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase; *PRDM6*: PR/SET domain 6; *PTCH1*: patched 1; *PTEN*: phosphatase and tensin homolog; *SMO*: smoothened; *SUFU*: suppressor of fused; *TERT*: telomerase reverse transcriptase; *TNRC6C*: trinucleotide repeat containing 6C; -: blank cell

In the past decade, a number of molecular markers have been identified in MB, and they have shown potential application value in pathological diagnosis, targeted therapy, or prognostic evaluation. This paper reviewed the research progress of molecular pathologic markers in different subtypes of MB and expected to provide a basis for the routine application of these molecular markers in the clinical management of MB.

# Genetic alterations of molecular pathology markers in MB

## **Point mutation**

#### CTNNB1

CTNNB1 gene, encoding  $\beta$ -catenin, was located in the region of chromosome 3p21–22. It regulates cell proliferation and differentiation by binding to various proteins and plays a key role in embryonic development and tumorigenesis [13, 14]. Zurawel et al. [15] first discovered point mutations in the CTNNB1 gene in MB, which was later confirmed to be mainly present in the WNT subtype [6, 16, 17]. CTNNB1 exon 3 has four phosphorylation sites. Phosphorylated  $\beta$ -catenin is degraded through the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway, whereas mutations of these sites cause  $\beta$ -catenin accumulation in the cytoplasm, eventually migrating to the nucleus. There it binds and activates the T-cell factor (TCF)/lymphoid enhancer factor (LEF), leading to the upregulation of particular target genes [18, 19]. Combining  $\beta$ -catenin immunohistochemistry and CTNNB1 exon 3 sequencing is a feasible, economical, and effective approach to identifying the WNT subtype of MB, and patients of this subtype have a relatively good prognosis [19].

#### PTCH1, SMO, and SUFU

Activation mutations in the SHH pathway can be found in almost all SHH MBs. The most frequently mutated genes are *PTCH1*, *SMO*, and *SUFU*, and their expressions are mutually exclusive in MB [20–22]. *PTCH1* is mainly expressed in mesenchymal cells and is involved in embryonic structure formation and tumorigenesis. SMO proteins are important signal converters in the SHH pathway, and their activity is negatively regulated by *PTCH1*. *SUFU* is a major inhibitory factor in the SHH pathway. *PTCH1* mutation is the most common mutation in the SHH MB, occurring in all age groups [3, 16], although *SMO* mutation almost always occurs in adults [23]. A subset of pediatric patients with SHH MBs (aged 3 years to 16 years) showed *GLI2* and *MYCN* amplification to be mutually exclusive with *PTCH*, but 30% of which harbored phylogenetic (Li-Fraumeni syndrome) or *TP53* mutations [24]. Germline *SUFU* mutation has recently been identified as a genetic background that could cause MB in infants under 3 years old; no *SUFU* mutation has been found in the adult SHH subtype [23, 25–27]. SHH subtype patients with *SUFU* germline mutation have a worse prognosis than other SHH MB patients [28].

#### **TP53**

Human *TP53* gene, located on the short arm of chromosome 17, is a tumor-suppressor gene that encodes the P53 protein. It is involved in a number of important biological processes, e.g., cell cycle progression, DNA repair, cell differentiation, and apoptosis [29]. The presence of diffuse, strong P53 immunoreactivity in MB usually indicates a potential *TP53* mutation [30]. In a cohort study of 108 cases of MB, mutations in the *TP53* gene were reported as an independent predictor of poor prognosis [31]. Zhukova et al. [32]. showed that the prognostic value of somatic *TP53* mutation was subtype-dependent in a larger cohort that included 553 cases of MB. Specifically, patients with WNT-subtype tumors who carry somatic *TP53* mutation have a good prognosis, whereas patients with SHH subtype tumors who carry the same mutation have a worse prognosis [3, 29, 31]. Moreover, the SHH MB could be further divided into *TP53* wild-type

and TP53 mutant; TP53 status is the most significant risk factor in SHH MB [13, 29], especially in SHH  $\alpha$  subtype [11]. The 5-year overall survival rates of SHH subtype patients with or without TP53 mutation are 41% and 81%, respectively [29]. Therefore, the new WHO classification of the CNS tumors divides SHH subtype MB into TP53 wild-type and TP53 mutant subgroups.

#### DDX3X

Human genome encodes two functional *DDX3* genes: *DDX3X* and its homologous gene *DDX3Y* [33]. *DDX3X* gene is located on the X chromosome and could regulate different steps of RNA metabolism, such as RNA splicing, transcription, and translation initiation. In addition, *DDX3X* is involved in stress response, cell apoptosis, cell cycle progression, and viral infection [33–35]. The role of *DDX3X* in tumorigenesis and progression is quite complex, and it plays a dual role in multiple tumors [36]. Downregulation of *DDX3X* promotes stem cell-like properties and tumorigenesis in hepatocellular carcinoma cells [37], while the upregulation of *DDX3* was observed in distant breast cancer metastases and correlated with poor prognosis [38, 39]. *DDX3X* functions as a tumor-suppressor gene in MB [13, 40]; its functional deletion mutation increased the incidence and severity of tumor formation in mouse models of WNT and SHH MBs [41]. *DDX3X* mutation is common in adult SHH MB patients, but it is reported to be very rare in the pediatric SHH subtype [23, 25, 26]. *DDX3Y* gene is located on the Y chromosome and plays a significant role in male fertility [33]. There have currently been no studies connecting *DDX3Y* with the development of MB.

# **CREBBP**

*CREBBP* gene is involved in the transcriptional co-activation of many transcription factors, and its expression product is a nuclear protein that binds to the CREB. *CREBBP* plays a key role in embryonic development, cell growth control, and homeostasis maintenance through chromatin remodeling and transcription factor recognition [42]. *CREBBP* has been found to be almost completely mutated in adult SHH subtype MB [40]. Similar to the *DDX3X* mutation, *CREBBP* mutation is rare in pediatric SHH patients [11, 26, 43]. The loss of *CREBBP* acts synergistically with SHH signals to enhance SHH pathway output and drive tumor growth in MB [42]. This provides a new direction for targeted therapy of SHH MB.

## MLL2 and MLL3

MLL2 and MLL3 are genes encoding histone-lysine N-methyltransferases involved in the methylation of histone 3 lysine 4 (H3K4) [44]. Histone methyltransferases affect heterochromatin formation, gene imprinting, and transcriptional regulation. MLL2 and MLL3 are tumor-suppressor genes that are inactivated by mutation [45]. In an early study, their mutations were mainly found in WNT or SHH subtype MB [44, 45], but later, Robinson et al. [40] reported a low incidence of MLL2 mutations in group 3 MB. The discrepancy may be caused by a small sample size and a lack of subtype-specific analysis. Therefore, further studies using larger numbers of MB cases will be needed to reveal the specific relationship between the dysfunction of MLL2/3 signaling and the sub-classification and prognosis of MB.

#### TERT-promoter mutation

Telomerase is an RNA-dependent DNA polymerase that can prolong the telomere DNA to maintain telomere homeostasis. Maintaining telomere length is a key step for cancer cells to overcome telomere shortening and induce cell senescence [46]. TERT is the rate-limiting catalytic subunit of telomerase. *TERT*-promoter mutation leads to the upregulation of *TERT* transcription, which enables cancer cells to avoid cell senescence and increase their replication potential. *TERT*-promoter mutation is the most common recurrent somatic point mutation in MB [46], occurring mainly in adult patients with SHH and WNT MB. It is interesting that *TERT*-promoter mutation in the SHH subtype was associated with a higher overall survival rate and lower incidence of tumor metastasis [47], while group 4 MB patients with *TERT*-promoter mutation had lower overall survival rates than those with *TERT*-promoter wild-type [48]. In WNT and group 3 subtypes of MB, *TERT*-promoter mutations appear to have no effect on overall survival [46]. Though the molecular basis for these differences in survival is unclear, the status of the *TERT*-promoter may provide a new biomarker for subtype classification and targeted therapy in MB.

## Copy number variation

## MYC/MYCN amplification

MYC and MYCN induce cell proliferation and malignant transformation together with other oncogenes or tumor suppressors [49], and they are the two most frequently amplified oncogenes in MB. MYC and MYCN amplifications account for 5–10% of sporadic MB and have a high incidence in large cell subtypes [50]. MYC amplification is a hallmark alteration almost exclusively found in group 3 MB [51] and predicts an extremely poor prognosis [52, 53]. MYCN amplification is enriched in SHH and group 4 MB [54]. Combined ectopic expression of MYCN and SHH promotes the formation of cerebellar MB in mice after birth [54, 55]. MYCN amplification is associated with poor prognosis in SHH MB [23, 52, 55, 56]. However, neither MYCN gain nor amplification was associated with poor survival in group 4 MB [57].

#### OTX2 amplification

*OTX2* gene is composed of 5 exons, of which the first two are non-coding, and the last three encode *OTX2*. *OTX2* was previously identified as a potential oncogene for some malignancies, but recently it has been identified as a driver gene in MB [58]. Due to gene amplification [59], *OTX2* is highly expressed in WNT and non-WNT/non-SHH MB, although it is low or absent in the SHH subtype [60, 61]. The downregulation of OTX2 expression can inhibit the growth of MB cells *in vitro* [62]. Overexpression of OTX2 directly drives MB cell proliferation by targeting cell-cycle genes [63, 64]. Screening for OTX2 overexpression is becoming an integral part of establishing a molecular classification scheme in MB [62], although the correlation between the expression of OTX2 and patient prognosis has not been investigated yet.

## CDK6 amplification

CDK6, a serine/threonine kinase, has strong effects on cell cycle progression [65]. CDK6 activation promotes cell cycle progression through the phosphorylation of substrates, including retinoblastoma protein (pRb) and transcription factors with roles in proliferation and differentiation [66]. Recently, some studies have shown that amplification of *CDK6* gene is a vital feature of group 4 MB [13, 48]. A genome-wide analysis of DNA copy number in 47 cases of MB showed that *CDK6* amplification was significantly associated with poor prognosis in MB [67]. Therefore, *CDK6* amplification/overexpression may be used as a biological marker for molecular stratification and therapeutic interventions in MB patients. PTEN loss

*PTEN* is the main inhibitor of the PI3K signaling pathway, and PI3K activation is the main driver of most human cancers [68]. Frequent allele loss of *PTEN* in MB results in low expression of *PTEN*, which was associated with a low survival rate in a transgenic mouse model of MB [69]. Homozygous deletions of *PTEN* have been described in SHH MB [51]. Low expression of PTEN could identify high-risk patients with adverse outcomes in the SHH subtype, but not in the remaining MB subgroups [70]. In contrast, PTEN is highly expressed in group 4 MB and could be used to differentiate group 3 and group 4 subtypes [70], but the underlying mechanism has not yet been elucidated.

#### KDM6A mutation and loss

*KDM6A* [also known as ubiquitously transcribed tetratricopeptide repeat on chromosome X (*UTX*)], a tumor-suppressor gene encoding histone 3 lysine 27 (H3K27) demethylase, plays a vital part in determining cell fate and cell differentiation during development [13, 40, 44, 71]. Robinson et al. [40] first reported the high-frequence mutation of *KDM6A* in MB. *KDM6A* mutations are enriched in group 4 MB and are identified with lower frequencies in SHH and group 3 MB [13, 23, 72]. In addition, *KDM6A* copy-number loss was often found in female non-WNT/non-SHH MB patients [40]. Although the exact mechanism is not clear, *KDM6A* gene mutation promotes tumorigenesis in a mouse model of MB [73], providing novel insights into the function of *KDM6A* in MB.

## KBTBD4 gene insertion

*KBTBD4* gene encodes a Kelch protein belonging to a family of ubiquitin-ligase adapters that facilitates the ubiquitination of target substrates. *KBTBD4* gene insertions are located at a hotspot region (codons

308–313) and have been reported exclusively in non-WNT/non-SHH MBs [25, 48, 49]. However, researchers from Brazil analyzed a series of 111 MBs, including 48 cases from the non-WNT/non-SHH subtype; none of the 48 harbored any *KBTBD4* mutations at the hotspot region [74]. This may have been the result of population differences or small sample size. Therefore, future studies are warranted to assess the frequency and role of *KBTBD4* mutations in MB.

## **GLI2** amplification

*GLI* is the end effector of hedgehog (HH) signaling and promotes transcription of HH-target genes, which could regulate cell survival, invasion, and angiogenesis, as well as stem cell self-renewal and epithelial-mesenchymal transition [75–79]. It has been found that *GLI2* amplification exists in SHH MB [23, 25] and frequently co-occurs with *TP53* loss (defined as SHH  $\alpha$  subtype), predicting a worse prognosis in patients with this subtype of MB [80]. Additionally, GLI2 is positively regulated by the PI3K/AKT pathway [81], which is also mutated in a subset of SHH MB patients [23].

#### Chromosome abnormalities

Chromosome abnormalities are often observed in MBs, particularly those classified as group 3 and group 4 subtypes [9]. Isochromosome (iso) 17q is the commonest cytogenetic change in group 4 MB, although it is also seen in group 3 MB [54, 60]. Shih et al. [57] reported that iso 17q was a statistically significant predictor of poor outcomes in group 3 but not in group 4 MB. In addition, chromosome 17 gain and chromosome 11 loss were found to be good prognostic factors in group 4 MB [56, 82]. These findings indicate that chromosome 17 aberration is a subtype-specific molecular biomarker in MB.

#### **Gene modification**

#### TNRC6C methylation

TNRC6 proteins, including TNRC6A, TNRC6B, and TNRC6C, serve as scaffolding proteins within miRNA-induced silencing complex and therefore play an important role in miRNA-mediated gene silencing [83]. Whole-genome methylation sequencing of circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) in cerebrospinal fluid showed that DNA methylation in the 3'-untranslated region (UTR) of *TNRC6C* was significantly increased in all subgroups of MB, and can be used as a potential prognostic marker to predict clinical outcomes in patients with this tumor [84].

## MXI1 and IL8 methylation

MXI1 is a negative regulator of the MYC family of proteins [85], and IL8 has potential involvement in chemokine signaling and angiogenic processes in tumor development [86]. Recently the methylation of *MXI1* and *IL8* was identified as a novel independent high-risk biomarker in the survival models of SHH and non-WNT/non-SHH MB patients [87]. Incorporation of DNA methylation events into current risk-stratification schemes significantly improved the accuracy of survival prediction, which has important implications for future risk-adapted clinical disease management in MB.

#### Lmx1A enhancer activation

Lmx1A is a LIM homeobox transcription factor 1, alpha previously shown to function as a critical regulator of cell-fate determination in cerebellar development [88]. Lin et al. [89] reported that both *Lmx1A* enhancer activity and expression are important for the identification of group 4 MB. Their chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)-sequencing data supported Lmx1A as a master regulator of the transcription factor in the transcriptional program of group 4 MB.

#### PRDM6 induction by enhancer hijacking

PRDM6 belongs to the PRDM family of transcriptional repressors, a family that is essential for the growth of smooth muscle cells [90]. It was reported that *PRDM6* gene expression was markedly upregulated in a subset of group 4 MB patients, due to "enhancer hijacking" induced DNA rearrangement [25]. Further studies are needed to confirm the function of *PRDM6* as an oncogene in this subtype of MB.

## Post-transcriptional/translational modification

#### GFI1/GFI1B transcriptional activation

GFI1B is a paralog of GFI1. Both genes functioned as sustained natural apophyseal glides (SNAG) domain-containing zinc finger transcriptional repressors essential for a variety of developmental processes [91]. GFI1 and GFI1B were identified as prominent oncogenes specifically activated in non-WNT/non-SHH MB, and somatic genomic rearrangements together with mutually exclusive activation of GFI1 and GFI1B were found in approximately one-third of group 3 MB patients [92]. These oncogenes are now considered the commonest enhancer hijacker in this subtype of MB [13, 25, 92], and they may have a synergistic effect on MYC gene amplification in promoting the malignant progression of MB [92]. Therefore, GFI1 and GFI1B are promising biomarkers for molecular typing and targeted therapy in non-WNT/non-SHH MB.

## Aberrant ERBB4-SRC signaling

ERBB4 is the only member of the ERBB receptor family with growth-inhibiting properties. According to the Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia database, its messenger RNA (mRNA) expression is only present in a small fraction of tumor cell lines, whereas the other ERBB receptors are highly expressed in the majority of tumor cell lines [93]. The controversies around the anti- or pro-oncogenic role of ERBB4 can in part be explained by the multiple ligands that can activate ERBB4, its numerous intracellular phosphorylation sites, the presence of alternative splice variants, the different intracellular signaling pathways affected, and the different downstream responses in different cell types and different disease stages. Using quantitative (phospho)-proteomics in primary human MBs, Forget et al. [94] unraveled distinct post-transcriptional regulation leading to highly divergent oncogenic signaling and kinase activity profiles, e.g., aberrant ERBB4-SRC [a key protein tyrosine kinase to regulate receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) signaling signaling in group 4 MB. These findings indicated that ERBB4 promoted MB malignance and could serve as a therapeutic target in group 4 subtype.

## MYC post-translational modification

*MYC* amplification is a "hallmark" of *MYC*-active MB, but not all tumors of this type have *MYC* amplification [25, 95]. Archer et al. [96] quantitatively profiled global proteomes and phospho-proteomes in 45 MB samples, and found that increased post-translational modifications of MYC, e.g., acetylation and phosphorylation, are associated with poor outcomes in group 3 MB, and correlate with the increased phosphorylation of protein kinase, DNA-activated, catalytic subunit (PRKDC). Inhibiting the activity of PRKDC sensitizes *MYC*-active MB cells to radiation [96], which offers a new strategy for the treatment of group 3 MB.

## miRNA

miRNAs are a class of endogenous non-coding small RNAs with a length of 18–22 basepair (bp) that regulate the expression of target genes by inducing mRNA degradation or translation inhibition [97]. They control basic cellular processes such as development, differentiation, metabolism, proliferation, and apoptosis. Non-transcriptional expression of miRNAs is associated with the development and progression of a variety of cancers, and such expression changes can be caused by mutations, methylation, deletions, and gains in the miRNA coding region [98]. miR-124 was first reported to be positively associated with the survival of MB patients, and it can inhibit tumor cell growth by targeting CDK6 [99, 100]. Kunder et al. [101] carried out a miRNA expression analysis in different subtypes of MB and identified miR-592 and miR-182 as surrogate markers for non-WNT/non-SHH group 3/group 4 MB. The two mRNAs were also useful for risk stratification of this category of MB. They later found that restoration of miR-193a expression or overexpression of miR-206 suppressed tumor cell growth in MB cells [102, 103]. In addition, high expression of miR-182 and miR-183 was positively associated with the metastasis of non-SHH MB [104]. These studies suggest that miRNA profiling might be a promising marker for risk stratification, molecular typing, and prognosis estimation of MB [105].

# Molecular targeted therapy in MB

Surgical resection, combined with radiotherapy and chemotherapy, is still the main mode for the treatment of MB, but the efficacy is limited. Thanks to the advances in molecular pathological markers of MB, molecular targeted therapy is becoming a promising strategy to overcome this type of pediatric brain tumor. Here, we mainly documented the targeted therapy studies related to the molecule markers mentioned above.

#### **SMO** inhibitor

Thirty percent of MBs show hyperactivation of SHH signaling pathways [16, 106]. Vismodegib (GDC-0449, 879085-55-9, Genentech, US), the first United States Food and Drug Administration (US FDA)-approved SMO antagonist, has shown therapeutic efficacy in recurrent SHH subtype adult patients, although this subtype is also prone to drug resistance [107]. As demonstrated in phase I and II clinical trials [43], another SMO inhibitor, sonidegib (LDE-225, 956697-53-3, Novantis, Switzerland), seems to be more effective than vismodegib in treating SHH driven adult and pediatric MB. However, both drugs are ineffective on tumors driven by mutations in SHH pathway genes downstream of SMO, implying that infants (SHH  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ ) and children (SHH  $\alpha$ ) with SHH driven MB are unlikely to benefit from these drugs. In summary, SMO inhibitors act only on tumors with mutations in genes upstream of the SMO pathway. Adult patients with SHH MB are the best candidates for this therapy compared with children and infants [43].

#### **GLI** inhibitor

GLI transcription factors are critical mediators of the HH pathway, which is usually activated in SHH MB. Glioma-associated oncogene antagonist-61 (GANT61), the first GLI antagonist, has been proven to inhibit cell migration, invasion, and proliferation while enhancing cell apoptosis in human MB cells [108]. Arsenic trioxide (ATO) can interact with GLI1 to inhibit GLI1 transcriptional activity [109] and could promote GLI2 degradation in MB cells [110]. The effectiveness of ATO as a HH pathway inhibitor has been tested in several preclinical tumor models [109, 111, 112]. ATO, used alone or in combination with other anticancer drugs, may represent a valuable therapeutic option to treat SHH MB, particularly those harboring drug-resistant *SMO* mutations [109, 110, 113].

#### PI3K inhibitor

Alterations of the signaling pathway of the intracellular lipid kinase PI3K are known to play a crucial role in MB by regulating cellular growth, proliferation, and cell survival [114]. It has been suggested that the PI3K signaling pathway can positively regulate the expression of GLI2, an end effector of HH signaling [75–77]. Targeting both PI3K and HH pathways is considered a promising therapeutic strategy for SHH MB. Treatment of MB cells with the HH inhibitor vismodegib and the PI3K inhibitor BEZ235 (915019-65-7, LC laboratories, US) significantly suppressed cell growth and survival, and increased cisplatin-mediated cytotoxicity [115]. Two clinical trials are recruiting patients for the treatment of recurrent MB using the PI3K inhibitor samotolisib (NCT03213678, NCT03155620, 1386874-06-1, Selleckchem, US).

## WNT/β-catenin inhibitor

PRI-724, a *CREBBP-CTNNB1* interacting antagonist, is currently being involved in phase I clinical trials of pancreatic cancer and hepatitis-C-virus-infected cirrhosis (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT01764477 and NCT02195440) [116], and is expected to be applied in the treatment of WNT subtype MB. WNT subtype of MB usually has a good prognosis because patients' blood-brain barrier is leaky, which allows better delivery of chemotherapy drugs to tumor cells [117]. Inhibiting WNT signaling would improve the integrity of the blood-brain barrier, making tumors more resistant to chemotherapy. Therefore, drugging to the WNT/ $\beta$ -catenin pathway requires much caution.

#### CDK4/6 inhibitor

The CDK4/6-meidated signaling pathway has been recently identified as a druggable target for all non-WNT MBs [118]. As an inhibitor specifically targeting CDK4/6, palbociclib (571190-30-2, Pfizer, US) has been demonstrated as an effective therapeutic drug for MB, especially the group 3 subtype with *MYC* amplification [118]. It is currently being used in clinical trials for all subtypes of MB and other childhood brain cancers (NCT02255461) [119]. In addition, a number of clinical trials are recruiting MB patients

to explore the efficacy of CDK4/6 inhibitors in combination with conventional chemotherapy, such as abemaciclib (1231929-97-7, Eli Lilly and Company, US) and temozolomide (NCT04238819, 85622-93-1, Merck Sharp & Dohme, US), ribociclib (1256963-02-6, Novantis, Switzerland) and gemcitabine (NCT03434262, 95058-81-4, Eli Lilly and Company, US). Interestingly, Daggubati et al. [120] reported that decreased ribosomal protein expression caused the resistance of SHH MB to CDK6 inhibition, which provides a rationale for the combination therapy to treat this subtype of MB.

#### **MYC** inhibitor

*MYC* signature activation is found to be associated with poor outcomes in group 3 MB [52, 53], while there has not been a clear path for targeted therapy. Notably, a novel bromodomain inhibitor JQ1 (1268524-70-4, Selleckchem, US) was developed to interrupt the hyper-transcriptional activity of *MYC*-driven MB cells and xenografts [121, 122]. As yet, there are no bromodomain inhibitors with FDA approval for use in MB.

## Other potential drug targets

Targeted drugs against other molecular markers, such as *DDX3X*, *KDM6A*, and *MLL2/3*, have not yet emerged and require further research. Small-molecule drugs targeting various miRNAs are also a new option for the treatment of MB [105, 123], but they are still in the pre-clinical stages.

# **Conclusions**

With the development of more efficient and accurate molecular biology technologies, molecular pathology markers have shown an increasingly important role in MB. As summarized in Table 2, these molecular markers are helpful in the pathology diagnosis, risk stratification, or prognostic evaluation in MB. Some of them have been extensively studied and become the classification criteria for MB. For example, β-catenin immunohistochemistry is routinely used to identify the WNT MB; TP53 mutation is a high-risk factor for SHH MB. *MYC* amplification is specific for group 3 MB and predicts poor prognoses, and *CDK6* amplification is a vital feature of group 4 MB. Other markers are needed to be more comprehensively studied to clarify their specific roles in MB, such as MLL2/3, TERT, KDM6A, KBTBD4, and ERBB4. It should also be noted that some molecular markers are interrelated or mutually exclusive. In pediatric MB, *TP53* mutation often occurs simultaneously with *GLI2* and *MYCN* amplification. *SUFU* mutation is mutually exclusive with *PTCH1* and *SMO* mutation, and it mainly occurs in infant MB, while *DDX3X*, *CREBBP*, and *TERT*-promoter mutation is frequently found in adult MB.

Table 2. Summary of molecular pathology markers in MB

Gene	Status	MB subtypes	Prognosis	References
CTNNB1	Mutation	WNT	Good	[19]
PTCH1/SMO	Mutation	SHH	Dependent on TP53	[3, 16, 23]
SUFU	Germline mutation	SHH	Poor	[28]
TP53	Mutation	WNT	Good	[3, 21, 29]
		SHH	poor	[3, 13, 21, 29]
DDX3X	Mutation	WNT, SHH	Unknown	[13, 40, 41]
CREBBP	Mutation	SHH	Unknown	[40, 42]
MLL2	Mutation	WNT, SHH	Unknown	[44, 45]
MLL3	Mutation	WNT, SHH	Unknown	[44, 45]
TERT	Promoter mutation	WNT	Unaffected	[46]
		Group 3		
		SHH	Good	[47]
		Group 4	Poor	[48]
MYC	Amplification	Group 3	Poor	[51–53]
	Post-translational modifications	Group 3	Poor	[96]
MYCN	Amplification	SHH	Poor	[23, 52, 55, 56]
		Group 4	Unknown	[57]

Table 2. Summary of molecular pathology markers in MB (continued)

Gene	Status	MB subtypes	Prognosis	References [62–64]	
OTX2	Amplification	WNT, non-WNT/non-SHH	Unknown		
CDK6	Amplification	All groups	Poor	[13, 48, 67]	
PTEN	Loss	SHH	poor	[51, 70]	
		Group 4	Unknown	[70]	
KDM6A	Copy number loss	non-WNT/non-SHH	Unknown	[40]	
	Mutation	SHH, non-WNT/non-SHH		[13, 23, 72]	
KBTBD4	Insertion	non-WNT/non-SHH	Unknown	[25, 48, 49, 74]	
GLI2	Amplification	SHH	Poor	[23, 25, 80]	
Chromosome 11	Loss	Group 4	Good	[56, 82]	
Chromosome 17	iso 17q	Group 3	Poor	[54, 57, 60]	
	Gain	Group 4	Good	[56, 82]	
TNRC6C	DNA methy	All groups	Poor	[84]	
MXI1	DNA methy	Non-WNT	Poor	[87]	
IL8	DNA methy	Non-WNT	Poor	[87]	
Lmx1A	Enhancer activation	Group 4	Poor	[89]	
PRDM6	Enhancer hijacking	Group 4	Unknown	[25]	
GFI1	Transcriptional activation	non-WNT/non-SHH	Unknown	[13, 25, 92]	
GFI1B	Transcriptional activation	non-WNT/non-SHH	Unknown	[13, 25, 92]	
ERBB4 Post-transcriptional regulation		Group 4	Poor	[94]	

methy: methylation

The advances in molecular pathology markers provide deep insights into the tumorigenesis mechanism and targeted therapy of MB. The molecular mechanism driving WNT and SHH MB has been deeply studied, and some drugs targeting SHH pathways (e.g., vismodegib) and PI3K pathways (e.g., BEZ235) are being tested in clinical trials. Other drugs targeting the WNT/SHH MB, e.g., WNT/β-catenin inhibitor and GLI inhibitor, have also been investigated extensively, but they are still in the preclinical stage. The non-WNT/ non-SHH MB, accounting for more than two-thirds of all MBs, has the highest metastasis rate. The underlying mechanism of this category of MB is largely unknown, which limits the development of targeted drugs. MYC activation is found to be associated with poor outcomes in group 3 MB, while there has not been a clear path for targeted therapy. The CDK4/6 inhibitor, palbociclib, is the sole drug that has entered the clinical trial for the treatment of non-WNT/non-SHH MB, especially group 3 subtype. Chromosome abnormalities are often found in group 3 and group 4 MB, such as iso 17q, chromosome 17 gain, and chromosome 11 loss. The alteration in chromosomes can be easily detected by sequencing and provide useful information for the prognosis of non-WNT/non-SHH MB, but the drug targets are so far lacking due to the complexity and heterogeneity of this type of MB. Therefore, further investigations are needed to identify homogeneous subtypes within the non-WNT/non-SHH MB, which may simplify the development of targeted drugs.

## **Abbreviations**

ATO: arsenic trioxide

CDK6: cyclin-dependent kinases 6

CNS: central nervous system

CREBBP: cAMP-response element binding protein-binding protein

CTNNB1: cadherin-associated protein beta 1

DDX3X: DEAD-box helicase 3 X-linked

ERBB4-SRC: Erb-b2 receptor tyrosine kinase 4-proto-oncogene tyrosine-protein kinase SRC

GFI1: growth factor independent 1

GLI2: glioma-associated oncogene homolog 2

HH: hedgehog
IL8: interleukin 8
iso: isochromosome

KBTBD4: Kelch repeat and broad-complex tramtrack, and bric-a-brac domain containing 4

KDM6A: lysine-specific demethylase 6A

Lmx1A: LIM homeobox transcription factor 1, alpha

MB: medulloblastoma miRNA: microRNA

MLL2/3: mixed-lineage leukemia 2/3

MXI1: max interactor 1

MYC: myelocytomatosis oncogene

MYCN: neuroblastoma derived myelocytomatosis oncogene

OTX2: orthodenticle homeobox 2 PI3K: phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase

PRDM6: PR/SET domain 6

PTCH1: patched 1

PTEN: phosphatase and tensin homolog

SHH: sonic hedgehog SMO: smoothened

SUFU: suppressor of fused

TERT: telomerase reverse transcriptase

TNRC6C: trinucleotide repeat containing 6C

TP53: tumor protein P53

WHO: World Health Organization

WNT: wingless-type mouse mammary tumor virus integration site

## **Declarations**

#### **Author contributions**

ZZ: Investigation, Writing—original draft. BZ: Investigation. QM: Writing—review & editing. TZ: Funding, Data curation. YW: Data curation. RY: Supervision, Funding, Writing—review & editing. SG: Conceptualization, Funding, Supervision, Writing—review & editing. All authors read and approved the submitted version.

## **Conflicts of interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

## **Ethical approval**

Not applicable.

## **Consent to participate**

Not applicable.

#### **Consent to publication**

Not applicable.

## Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

#### **Funding**

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 82002632 and 82072763) and the Key Research & Development Plan of Xuzhou City (Nos. KC20076 and KC21183). S. Gao was supported by the Jiangsu Provincial Six Talents Peak (2019-SWYY-092). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

## Copyright

© The Author(s) 2023.

## References

- 1. Packer RJ, Cogen P, Vezina G, Rorke LB. Medulloblastoma: clinical and biologic aspects. Neuro Oncol. 1999;1:232–50.
- 2. Ramaswamy V, Taylor MD. Medulloblastoma: from myth to molecular. J Clin Oncol. 2017;35:2355-63.
- 3. Brandes AA, Bartolotti M, Marucci G, Ghimenton C, Agati R, Fioravanti A, et al. New perspectives in the treatment of adult medulloblastoma in the era of molecular oncology. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol. 2015;94:348–59.
- 4. Smoll NR, Drummond KJ. The incidence of medulloblastomas and primitive neurectodermal tumours in adults and children. J Clin Neurosci. 2012;19:1541–4.
- 5. Louis DN, Ohgaki H, Wiestler OD, Cavenee WK, Burger PC, Jouvet A, et al. The 2007 WHO classification of tumours of the central nervous system. Acta Neuropathol. 2007;114:97–109.
- 6. Louis DN, Perry A, Reifenberger G, von Deimling A, Figarella-Branger D, Cavenee WK, et al. The 2016 World Health Organization classification of tumors of the central nervous system: a summary. Acta Neuropathol. 2016;131:803–20.
- 7. Kijima N, Kanemura Y. Molecular classification of medulloblastoma. Neurol Med Chir (Tokyo). 2016;56:687–97.
- 8. Louis DN, Perry A, Wesseling P, Brat DJ, Cree IA, Figarella-Branger D, et al. The 2021 WHO classification of tumors of the central nervous system: a summary. Neuro Oncol. 2021;23:1231–51.
- 9. Taylor MD, Northcott PA, Korshunov A, Remke M, Cho YJ, Clifford SC, et al. Molecular subgroups of medulloblastoma: the current consensus. Acta Neuropathol. 2012;123:465–72.
- 10. Hovestadt V, Jones DT, Picelli S, Wang W, Kool M, Northcott PA, et al. Decoding the regulatory landscape of medulloblastoma using DNA methylation sequencing. Nature. 2014;510:537–41.
- 11. Cavalli FMG, Remke M, Rampasek L, Peacock J, Shih DJH, Luu B, et al. Intertumoral heterogeneity within medulloblastoma subgroups. Cancer Cell. 2017;31:737–54.
- 12. Schwalbe EC, Lindsey JC, Nakjang S, Crosier S, Smith AJ, Hicks D, et al. Novel molecular subgroups for clinical classification and outcome prediction in childhood medulloblastoma: a cohort study. Lancet Oncol. 2017;18:958–71.
- 13. Khatua S, Song A, Citla Sridhar D, Mack SC. Childhood medulloblastoma: current therapies, emerging molecular landscape and newer therapeutic insights. Curr Neuropharmacol. 2018;16:1045–58.
- 14. Cordeiro BM, Oliveira ID, Alves MT, Saba-Silva N, Capellano AM, Cavalheiro S, et al. SHH, WNT, and NOTCH pathways in medulloblastoma: when cancer stem cells maintain self-renewal and differentiation properties. Childs Nerv Syst. 2014;7:1165–72.
- 15. Zurawel RH, Chiappa SA, Allen C, Raffel C. Sporadic medulloblastomas contain oncogenic beta-catenin mutations. Cancer Res. 1998;58:896–9.

- 16. Ellison DW, Dalton J, Kocak M, Nicholson SL, Fraga C, Neale G, et al. Medulloblastoma: clinicopathological correlates of SHH, WNT, and non-SHH/WNT molecular subgroups. Acta Neuropathol. 2011;121:381–96.
- 17. Jones DT, Jäger N, Kool M, Zichner T, Hutter B, Sultan M, et al. Dissecting the genomic complexity underlying medulloblastoma. Nature. 2012;488:100–5.
- 18. Silva Rd, Marie SK, Uno M, Matushita H, Wakamatsu A, Rosemberg S, et al. CTNNB1, AXIN1 and APC expression analysis of different medulloblastoma variants. Clinics (Sao Paulo). 2013;68:167–72.
- 19. Goschzik T, Zur Mühlen A, Kristiansen G, Haberler C, Stefanits H, Friedrich C, et al. Molecular stratification of medulloblastoma: comparison of histological and genetic methods to detect Wnt activated tumours. Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol. 2015;41:135–44.
- 20. Thompson MC, Fuller C, Hogg TL, Dalton J, Finkelstein D, Lau CC, et al. Genomics identifies medulloblastoma subgroups that are enriched for specific genetic alterations. J Clin Oncol. 2006;24:1924–31.
- 21. Cambruzzi E. Medulloblastoma, WNT-activated/SHH-activated: clinical impact of molecular analysis and histogenetic evaluation. Childs Nerv Syst. 2018;34:809–15.
- 22. Northcott PA, Dubuc AM, Pfister S, Taylor MD. Molecular subgroups of medulloblastoma. Expert Rev Neurother. 2012;12:871–84.
- 23. Kool M, Jones DT, Jäger N, Northcott PA, Pugh TJ, Hovestadt V, et al. Genome sequencing of SHH medulloblastoma predicts genotype-related response to smoothened inhibition. Cancer Cell. 2014;25:393–405.
- 24. Rausch T, Jones DT, Zapatka M, Stütz AM, Zichner T, Weischenfeldt J, et al. Genome sequencing of pediatric medulloblastoma links catastrophic DNA rearrangements with *TP53* mutations. Cell. 2012;148:59–71.
- 25. Northcott PA, Buchhalter I, Morrissy AS, Hovestadt V, Weischenfeldt J, Ehrenberger T, et al. The whole-genome landscape of medulloblastoma subtypes. Nature. 2017;547:311–17.
- 26. Wong GC, Li KK, Wang WW, Liu AP, Huang QJ, Chan AK, et al. Clinical and mutational profiles of adult medulloblastoma groups. Acta Neuropathol Commun. 2020;8:191.
- 27. Šoukalová J, Vejmělková K, Cermanová T, Kašíková K, Mikulášová A, Janyšková H, et al. Identification of a family with SUFU germline deletion based on a case of desmoplastic medulloblastoma in an infant. Klin Onkol. 2016;29:S83–8. Czech.
- 28. Guerrini-Rousseau L, Dufour C, Varlet P, Masliah-Planchon J, Bourdeaut F, Guillaud-Bataille M, et al. Germline *SUFU* mutation carriers and medulloblastoma: clinical characteristics, cancer risk, and prognosis. Neuro Oncol. 2018;20:1122–32.
- 29. Ramaswamy V, Nör C, Taylor MD. Erratum: p53 and meduloblastoma. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2015;6:a029579.
- 30. Ongürü O, Karslioglu Y, Ozcan A, Celik E. Anti-apoptotic and growth-promoting markers in adult medulloblastomas. Clin Neuropathol. 2010;29:384–9.
- 31. Tabori U, Baskin B, Shago M, Alon N, Taylor MD, Ray PN, et al. Universal poor survival in children with medulloblastoma harboring somatic *TP53* mutations. J Clin Oncol. 2010;28:1345–50.
- 32. Zhukova N, Ramaswamy V, Remke M, Pfaff E, Shih DJ, Martin DC, et al. Subgroup-specific prognostic implications of *TP53* mutation in medulloblastoma. J Clin Oncol. 2013;31:2927–35.
- 33. Rosner A, Rinkevich B. The DDX3 subfamily of the DEAD box helicases: divergent roles as unveiled by studying different organisms and in vitro assays. Curr Med Chem. 2007;14:2517–25.
- 34. Linder P. Dead-box proteins: a family affair—active and passive players in RNP-remodeling. Nucleic Acids Res. 2006;34:4168–80.
- 35. Tanner NK, Linder P. DExD/H box RNA helicases: from generic motors to specific dissociation functions. Mol Cell. 2001;8:251–62.

- 36. He Y, Zhang D, Yang Y, Wang X, Zhao X, Zhang P, et al. A double-edged function of DDX3, as an oncogene or tumor suppressor, in cancer progression (review). Oncol Rep. 2018;39:883–92.
- 37. Li HK, Mai RT, Huang HD, Chou CH, Chang YA, Chang YW, et al. DDX3 represses stemness by epigenetically modulating tumor-suppressive miRNAs in hepatocellular carcinoma. Sci Rep. 2016;6:28637.
- 38. Bol GM, Raman V, van der Groep P, Vermeulen JF, Patel AH, van der Wall E, et al. Expression of the RNA helicase DDX3 and the hypoxia response in breast cancer. PLoS One. 2013;8:e63548.
- 39. Heerma van Voss MR, Schrijver WAME, ter Hoeve ND, Hoefnagel LD, Manson QF, van der Wall E, et al. The prognostic effect of DDX3 upregulation in distant breast cancer metastases. Clin Exp Metastasis. 2017;34:85–92.
- 40. Robinson G, Parker M, Kranenburg TA, Lu C, Chen X, Ding L, et al. Novel mutations target distinct subgroups of medulloblastoma. Nature. 2012;488:43–8.
- 41. Patmore DM, Jassim A, Nathan E, Gilbertson RJ, Tahan D, Hoffmann N, et al. *DDX3X* suppresses the susceptibility of hindbrain lineages to medulloblastoma. Dev Cell. 2020;54:455–70.
- 42. Merk DJ, Ohli J, Merk ND, Thatikonda V, Morrissy S, Schoof M, et al. Opposing effects of *CREBBP* mutations govern the phenotype of Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome and adult SHH medulloblastoma. Dev Cell. 2018;44:709–24.
- 43. Li Y, Song Q, Day BW. Phase I and phase II sonidegib and vismodegib clinical trials for the treatment of paediatric and adult MB patients: a systemic review and meta-analysis. Acta Neuropathol Commun. 2019;7:123.
- 44. Ichimura K, Nishikawa R, Matsutani M. Molecular markers in pediatric neuro-oncology. Neuro Oncol. 2012;14:iv90–9.
- 45. Parsons DW, Li M, Zhang X, Jones S, Leary RJ, Lin JC, et al. The genetic landscape of the childhood cancer medulloblastoma. Science. 2011;331:435–39.
- 46. Patel B, Taiwo R, Kim AH, Dunn GP. TERT, a promoter of CNS malignancies. Neurooncol Adv. 2020;2:1–11.
- 47. Remke M, Ramaswamy V, Peacock J, Shih DJH, Koelsche C, Northcott PA, et al. *TERT* promoter mutations are highly recurrent in SHH subgroup medulloblastoma. Acta Neuropathol. 2013;126:917–29.
- 48. Sharma T, Schwalbe EC, Williamson D, Sill M, Hovestadt V, Mynarek M, et al. Second-generation molecular subgrouping of medulloblastoma: an international meta-analysis of group 3 and group 4 subtypes. Acta Neuropathol. 2019;138:309–26.
- 49. Menyhárt O, Giangaspero F, Győrffy B. Molecular markers and potential therapeutic targets in non-WNT/non-SHH (group 3 and group 4) medulloblastomas. J Hematol Oncol. 2019;12:29.
- 50. Roussel MF, Robinson GW. Role of MYC in medulloblastoma. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2013;3:1–15.
- 51. Northcott PA, Shih DJ, Peacock J, Garzia L, Morrissy AS, Zichner T, et al. Subgroup-specific structural variation across 1,000 medulloblastoma genomes. Nature. 2012;488:49–56.
- 52. Ryan SL, Schwalbe EC, Cole M, Lu Y, Lusher ME, Megahed H, et al. *MYC* family amplification and clinical risk-factors interact to predict an extremely poor prognosis in childhood medulloblastoma. Acta Neuropathol. 2012;123:501–13.
- 53. Northcott PA, Robinson GW, Kratz CP, Mabbott DJ, Pomeroy SL, Clifford SC, et al. Medulloblastoma. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2019;5:11.
- 54. Korshunov A, Remke M, Kool M, Hielscher T, Northcott PA, Williamson D, et al. Biological and clinical heterogeneity of *MYCN*-amplified medulloblastoma. Acta Neuropathol. 2012;123:515–27.
- 55. Swartling FJ, Grimmer MR, Hackett CS, Northcott PA, Fan QW, Goldenberg DD, et al. Pleiotropic role for *MYCN* in medulloblastoma. Genes Dev. 2010;24:1059–72.
- 56. Kool M, Korshunov A, Remke M, Jones DT, Schlanstein M, Northcott PA, et al. Molecular subgroups of medulloblastoma: an international meta-analysis of transcriptome, genetic aberrations, and clinical data of WNT, SHH, group 3, and group 4 medulloblastomas. Acta Neuropathol. 2012;123:473–84.

- 57. Shih DJ, Northcott PA, Remke M, Korshunov A, Ramaswamy V, Kool M, et al. Cytogenetic prognostication within medulloblastoma subgroups. J Clin Oncol. 2014;32:886–96.
- 58. Beby F, Lamonerie T. The homeobox gene *Otx2* in development and disease. Exp Eye Res. 2013;111:9–16.
- 59. Boon K, Eberhart CG, Riggins GJ. Genomic amplification of orthodenticle homologue 2 in medulloblastomas. Cancer Res. 2005;65:703–7.
- 60. Kool M, Koster J, Bunt J, Hasselt NE, Lakeman A, van Sluis P, et al. Integrated genomics identifies five medulloblastoma subtypes with distinct genetic profiles, pathway signatures and clinicopathological features. PLoS One. 2008;3:e3088.
- 61. Northcott PA, Korshunov A, Witt H, Hielscher T, Eberhart CG, Mack S, et al. Medulloblastoma comprises four distinct molecular variants. J Clin Oncol. 2011;29:1408–14.
- 62. Lu Y, Labak CM, Jain N, Purvis IJ, Guda MR, Bach SE, et al. OTX2 expression contributes to proliferation and progression in Myc-amplified medulloblastoma. Am J Cancer Res. 2017;7:647–56.
- 63. Bunt J, Hasselt NE, Zwijnenburg DA, Hamdi M, Koster J, Versteeg R, et al. OTX2 directly activates cell cycle genes and inhibits differentiation in medulloblastoma cells. Int J Cancer. 2012;131:E21–32.
- 64. Bunt J, de Haas TG, Hasselt NE, Zwijnenburg DA, Koster J, Versteeg R, et al. Regulation of cell cycle genes and induction of senescence by overexpression of OTX2 in medulloblastoma cell lines. Mol Cancer Res. 2010;8:1344–57.
- 65. Zhao F, Ohgaki H, Xu L, Giangaspero F, Li C, Li P, et al. Molecular subgroups of adult medulloblastoma: a long-term single-institution study. Neuro Oncol. 2016;18:982–90.
- 66. Musgrove EA, Caldon CE, Barraclough J, Stone A, Sutherland RL. Cyclin D as a therapeutic target in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer. 2011;11:558–72.
- 67. Mendrzyk F, Radlwimmer B, Joos S, Kokocinski F, Benner A, Stange DE, et al. Genomic and protein expression profiling identifies CDK6 as novel independent prognostic marker in medulloblastoma. J Clin Oncol. 2005;23:8853–62.
- 68. Castellino RC, Durden DL. Mechanisms of disease: the PI3K-Akt-PTEN signaling node--an intercept point for the control of angiogenesis in brain tumors. Nat Clin Pract Neurol. 2007;3:682–93.
- 69. Castellino RC, Barwick BG, Schniederjan M, Buss MC, Becher O, Hambardzumyan D, et al. Heterozygosity for *Pten* promotes tumorigenesis in a mouse model of medulloblastoma. PLoS One. 2010;5:e10849.
- 70. da Silva LS, Mancano BM, de Paula FE, Dos Reis MB, de Almeida GC, Matsushita M, et al. Expression of *GNAS*, *TP53*, and *PTEN* improves the patient prognostication in sonic hedgehog (SHH) medulloblastoma subgroup. J Mol Diagn. 2020;22:957–66.
- 71. Gažová I, Lengeling A, Summers KM. Lysine demethylases KDM6A and UTY: the X and Y of histone demethylation. Mol Genet and Metab. 2019;127:31–44.
- 72. Dubuc AM, Remke M, Korshunov A, Northcott PA, Zhan SH, Mendez-Lago M, et al. Aberrant patterns of H3K4 and H3K27 histone lysine methylation occur across subgroups in medulloblastoma. Acta Neuropathol. 2013;125:373–84.
- 73. Yi J, Shi X, Xuan Z, Wu J. Histone demethylase UTX/KDM6A enhances tumor immune cell recruitment, promotes differentiation and suppresses medulloblastoma. Cancer Lett. 2021;499:188–200.
- 74. Leal LF, Cavagna RO, Campanella NC, Mançano B, Almeida GC, Matsushita M, et al. Lack of *KBTBD4* mutations in molecularly classified Brazilian medulloblastomas. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol. 2019;78:788–90.
- 75. Briscoe J, Thérond PP. The mechanisms of Hedgehog signalling and its roles in development and disease. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2013;14:416–29.
- 76. Zhang J, Tian XJ, Xing J. Signal transduction pathways of EMT induced by TGF-β, SHH, and WNT and their crosstalks. J Clin Med. 2016;5:41.
- 77. Lee RT, Zhao Z, Ingham PW. Hedgehog signalling. Development. 2016;143:367–72.

- 78. Infante P, Faedda R, Bernardi F, Bufalieri F, Lospinoso Severini L, Alfonsi R, et al. Itch/β-arrestin2-dependent non-proteolytic ubiquitylation of SuFu controls Hedgehog signalling and medulloblastoma tumorigenesis. Nat Commun. 2018;9:976.
- 79. Kogerman P, Grimm T, Kogerman L, Krause D, Undén AB, Sandstedt B, et al. Mammalian suppressor-of-fused modulates nuclear-cytoplasmic shuttling of Gli-1. Nat Cell Biol. 1999;1:312–9.
- 80. Lospinoso Severini L, Ghirga F, Bufalieri F, Quaglio D, Infante P, Di Marcotullio L. The SHH/GLI signaling pathway: a therapeutic target for medulloblastoma. Expert Opin Ther Targets. 2020;24:1159–81.
- 81. Wang Y, Ding Q, Yen CJ, Xia W, Izzo JG, Lang JY, et al. The crosstalk of mTOR/S6K1 and Hedgehog pathways. Cancer Cell. 2012;21:374–87.
- 82. Northcott PA, Jones DT, Kool M, Robinson GW, Gilbertson RJ, Cho YJ, et al. Medulloblastomics: the end of the beginning. Nat Rev Cancer. 2012;12:818–34.
- 83. Gebert LFR, MacRae IJ. Regulation of microRNA function in animals. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2019;20:21–37.
- 84. Li J, Zhao S, Lee M, Yin Y, Li J, Zhou Y, et al. Reliable tumor detection by whole-genome methylation sequencing of cell-free DNA in cerebrospinal fluid of pediatric medulloblastoma. Sci Adv. 2020;6:eabb5427.
- 85. Zervos AS, Gyuris J, Brent R. Mxi1, a protein that specifically interacts with Max to bind Myc-Max recognition sites. Cell. 1993;72:223–32.
- 86. Ning Y, Manegold PC, Hong YK, Zhang W, Pohl A, Lurje G, et al. Interleukin-8 is associated with proliferation, migration, angiogenesis and chemosensitivity *in vitro* and *in vivo* in colon cancer cell line models. Int J Cancer. 2011;128:2038–49.
- 87. Schwalbe EC, Williamson D, Lindsey JC, Hamilton D, Ryan SL, Megahed H, et al. DNA methylation profiling of medulloblastoma allows robust subclassification and improved outcome prediction using formalin-fixed biopsies. Acta Neuropathol. 2013;125:359–71.
- 88. Chizhikov VV, Lindgren AG, Mishima Y, Roberts RW, Aldinger KA, Miesegaes GR, et al. Lmx1a regulates fates and location of cells originating from the cerebellar rhombic lip and telencephalic cortical hem. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010;107:10725–30.
- 89. Lin CY, Erkek S, Tong Y, Yin L, Federation AJ, Zapatka M, et al. Active medulloblastoma enhancers reveal subgroup-specific cellular origins. Nature. 2016;530:57–62.
- 90. Gewies A, Castineiras-Vilarino M, Ferch U, Jährling N, Heinrich K, Hoeckendorf U, et al. *Prdm6* is essential for cardiovascular development *in vivo*. PLoS One. 2013;8:e81833.
- 91. Saleque S, Cameron S, Orkin SH. The zinc-finger proto-oncogene *Gfi-1b* is essential for development of the erythroid and megakaryocytic lineages. Genes Dev. 2002;16:301–6.
- 92. Northcott PA, Lee C, Zichner T, Stütz AM, Erkek S, Kawauchi D, et al. Enhancer hijacking activates GFI1 family oncogenes in medulloblastoma. Nature. 2014;511:428–34.
- 93. Segers VFM, Dugaucquier L, Feyen E, Shakeri H, De Keulenaer GW. The role of ErbB4 in cancer. Cell Oncol (Dordr). 2020;43:335–52.
- 94. Forget A, Martignetti L, Puget S, Calzone L, Brabetz S, Picard D, et al. Aberrant ERBB4-SRC signaling as a hallmark of group 4 medulloblastoma revealed by integrative phosphoproteomic profiling. Cancer Cell. 2018;34:379–95.
- 95. Cho YJ, Tsherniak A, Tamayo P, Santagata S, Ligon A, Greulich H, et al. Integrative genomic analysis of medulloblastoma identifies a molecular subgroup that drives poor clinical outcome. J Clin Oncol. 2011;29:1424–30.
- 96. Archer TC, Ehrenberger T, Mundt F, Gold MP, Krug K, Mah CK, et al. Proteomics, post-translational modifications, and integrative analyses reveal molecular heterogeneity within medulloblastoma subgroups. Cancer Cell. 2018;34:396–410.

- 97. Song Y, Li C, Jin L, Xing J, Sha Z, Zhang T, et al. RIOK2 is negatively regulated by miR-4744 and promotes glioma cell migration/invasion through epithelial-mesenchymal transition. J Cell Mol Med. 2020;24:4494–509.
- 98. Pang JC, Kwok WK, Chen Z, Ng HK. Oncogenic role of microRNAs in brain tumors. Acta Neuropathol. 2009;117:599–611.
- 99. Silber J, Hashizume R, Felix T, Hariono S, Yu M, Berger MS, et al. Expression of miR-124 inhibits growth of medulloblastoma cells. Neuro Oncol. 2013;15:83–90.
- 100. Pierson J, Hostager B, Fan R, Vibhakar R. Regulation of cyclin dependent kinase 6 by microRNA 124 in medulloblastoma. J Neurooncol. 2008;90:1–7.
- 101. Kunder R, Jalali R, Sridhar E, Moiyadi A, Goel N, Goel A, et al. Real-time PCR assay based on the differential expression of microRNAs and protein-coding genes for molecular classification of formalin-fixed paraffin embedded medulloblastomas. Neuro Oncol. 2013;15:1644–51.
- 102. Panwalkar P, Moiyadi A, Goel A, Shetty P, Goel N, Sridhar E, et al. MiR-206, a cerebellum enriched miRNA is downregulated in all medulloblastoma subgroups and its overexpression is necessary for growth inhibition of medulloblastoma cells. J Mol Neurosci. 2015;56:673–80.
- 103. Bharambe HS, Joshi A, Yogi K, Kazi S, Shirsat NV. Restoration of miR-193a expression is tumor-suppressive in *MYC* amplified group 3 medulloblastoma. Acta Neuropathol Commun. 2020;8:70.
- 104. Bai AHC, Milde T, Remke M, Rolli CG, Hielscher T, Cho YJ, et al. MicroRNA-182 promotes leptomeningeal spread of non-sonic hedgehog-medulloblastoma. Acta Neuropathol. 2011;123:529–38.
- 105. Mollashahi B, Aghamaleki FS, Movafagh A. The roles of miRNAs in medulloblastoma: a systematic review. J Cancer Prev. 2019;24:79–90.
- 106. Zurawel RH, Allen C, Chiappa S, Cato W, Biegel J, Cogen P, et al. Analysis of *PTCH/SMO/SHH* pathway genes in medulloblastoma. Genes Chromosomes Cancer. 2000;27:44–51.
- 107. Robinson GW, Orr BA, Wu G, Gururangan S, Lin T, Qaddoumi I, et al. Vismodegib exerts targeted efficacy against recurrent sonic hedgehog–subgroup medulloblastoma: results from phase II pediatric brain tumor consortium studies PBTC-025B and PBTC-032. J Clin Oncol 2015;33:2646–54.
- 108. Lin Z, Li S, Sheng H, Cai M, Ma LY, Hu L, et al. Suppression of GLI sensitizes medulloblastoma cells to mitochondria-mediated apoptosis. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2016;142:2469–78.
- 109. Beauchamp EM, Ringer L, Bulut G, Sajwan KP, Hall MD, Lee YC, et al. Arsenic trioxide inhibits human cancer cell growth and tumor development in mice by blocking Hedgehog/GLI pathway. J Clin Invest. 2011;121:148–60.
- 110. Kim Jynho, Lee JJ, Kim James, Gardner D, Beachy PA. Arsenic antagonizes the Hedgehog pathway by preventing ciliary accumulation and reducing stability of the Gli2 transcriptional effector. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010;107:13432–7.
- 111. Di Magno L, Manzi D, D'Amico D, Coni S, Macone A, Infante P, et al. Druggable glycolytic requirement for Hedgehog-dependent neuronal and medulloblastoma growth. Cell Cycle. 2014;13:3404–13.
- 112. Chen GQ, Zhu J, Shi XG, Ni JH, Zhong HJ, Si GY, et al. *In vitro* studies on cellular and molecular mechanisms of arsenic trioxide  $(As_2O_3)$  in the treatment of acute promyelocytic leukemia:  $As_2O_3$  induces  $NB_4$  cell apoptosis with downregulation of Bcl-2 expression and modulation of PML-RAR $\alpha$ /PML proteins. Blood. 1996;88:1052–61.
- 113. Kim J, Aftab BT, Tang JY, Kim D, Lee AH, Rezaee M, et al. Itraconazole and arsenic trioxide inhibit Hedgehog pathway activation and tumor growth associated with acquired resistance to smoothened antagonists. Cancer Cell. 2013;23:23–34.
- 114. Holzhauser S, Lukoseviciute M, Andonova T, Ursu RG, Dalianis T, Wickström M, et al. Targeting fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR) and phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) signaling pathways in medulloblastoma cell lines. Anticancer Res. 2020;40:53–66.

- 115. Chaturvedi NK, Kling MJ, Coulter DW, McGuire TR, Ray S, Kesherwani V, et al. Improved therapy for medulloblastoma: targeting hedgehog and PI3K-mTOR signaling pathways in combination with chemotherapy. Oncotarget. 2018;9:16619–33.
- 116. Kimura K, Ikoma A, Shibakawa M, Shimoda S, Harada K, Saio M, et al. Safety, tolerability, and preliminary efficacy of the anti-fibrotic small molecule PRI-724, a CBP/β-catenin inhibitor, in patients with hepatitis C virus-related cirrhosis: a single-center, open-label, dose escalation phase 1 trial. EBioMedicine. 2017;23:79–87.
- 117. Phoenix TN, Patmore DM, Boop S, Boulos N, Jacus MO, Patel YT, et al. Medulloblastoma genotype dictates blood brain barrier phenotype. Cancer Cell. 2016;29:508–22.
- 118. Cook Sangar ML, Genovesi LA, Nakamoto MW, Davis MJ, Knobluagh SE, Ji P, et al. Inhibition of CDK4/6 by palbociclib significantly extends survival in medulloblastoma patient-derived xenograft mouse models. Clin Cancer Res. 2017;23:5802–13.
- 119. Van Mater D, Gururangan S, Becher O, Campagne O, Leary S, Phillips JJ, et al. A phase I trial of the CDK 4/6 inhibitor palbociclib in pediatric patients with progressive brain tumors: a pediatric brain tumor consortium study (PBTC-042). Pediatr Blood Cancer. 2021;68:e28879.
- 120. Daggubati V, Hochstelter J, Bommireddy A, Choudhury A, Krup AL, Kaur P, et al. Smoothened-activating lipids drive resistance to CDK4/6 inhibition in Hedgehog-associated medulloblastoma cells and preclinical models. J Clin Invest. 2021;131:e141171.
- 121. Bandopadhayay P, Bergthold G, Nguyen B, Schubert S, Gholamin S, Tang Y, et al. BET bromodomain inhibition of *MYC*-amplified medulloblastoma. Clin Cancer Res. 2014;20:912–25.
- 122. Venkataraman S, Alimova I, Balakrishnan I, Harris P, Birks DK, Griesinger A, et al. Inhibition of BRD4 attenuates tumor cell self-renewal and suppresses stem cell signaling in MYC driven medulloblastoma. Oncotarget. 2014;5:2355–71.
- 123. Kumar Vinod, Kumar Virender, McGuire T, Coulter DW, Sharp JG, Mahato RI. Challenges and recent advances in medulloblastoma therapy. Trends Pharmacol Sci. 2017;38:1061–84.