

Open Access Original Article



Association between coronavirus 2019 disease and pseudoneurological complaints: analysis of case-control data

Mohammad Ali^{1,2*}[®], Atia Sharmin Bonna³, Tajnuva Mehjabin⁴

¹Department of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation, Uttara Adhunik Medical College, Dhaka 1230, Bangladesh

²Hasna Hena Pain and Physiotherapy Research Center (HPRC), Dhaka 1230, Bangladesh

³Department of Epidemiology, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32610, USA

⁴Uttara Homeopathic Medical College and Hospital, Dhaka 1230, Bangladesh

*Correspondence: Mohammad Ali, Department of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation, Uttara Adhunik Medical College, Dhaka 1230, Bangladesh. alibup2018@gmail.com

Academic Editor: Lee M. Wetzler, Boston University School of Medicine, USA Received: January 20, 2023 Accepted: March 24, 2023 Published: June 28, 2023

Cite this article: Ali M, Bonna AS, Mehjabin T. Association between coronavirus 2019 disease and pseudoneurological complaints: analysis of case-control data. Explor Med. 2023;4:343–55. https://doi.org/10.37349/emed.2023.00146

Abstract

Aim: Pseudoneurological complaints (PNCs) are highly prevalent among the general population. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) adversely influences such complaints in individuals who recovered from COVID-19. This study determined the prevalence and identified the predictors of PNCs among individuals who had previously experienced COVID-19 and their healthy counterparts.

Methods: This case-control study analyzed the data of 878 Bangladeshi adults (439 patients). Laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 individuals were considered cases, and the controls were those who never tested positive for COVID-19. The controls were matched with cases' sex and age. The seven-item pseudoneurological sub-scale of the subjective health complaints scale produced by Eriksen et al. evaluated PNCs. The descriptive analysis estimated the prevalence of PNCs among the subgroups, whereas multiple logistic regression models were used to determine the predictors of PNCs.

Results: Overall, the prevalence of PNCs was 40%; however, patients who recovered from COVID-19 reported a PNC rate of 67.4%. The regression analysis identified COVID-19 as a robust independent predictor of PNCs. Furthermore, occupation, monthly household income, current living location, hypertension, and recovery period from acute COVID-19 were independently associated with PNCs.

Conclusions: This study revealed a significant association between COVID-19 and PNCs. The results of this study will be helpful when discussing, planning, and implementing strategies to alleviate the overburden of PNCs among COVID-19 survivors.

Keywords

Anxiety, COVID-19, depression, fear, economic crisis, pandemic, pseudoneurology, subjective health complaints

© The Author(s) 2023. This is an Open Access article licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, for any purpose, even commercially, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.



Introduction

Pseudoneurological complaints (PNCs) are part of everyday subjective health complaints without significant pathological causes; however, they can cause major problems that may lead to serious illness [1]. Sleeping problems, palpitation (throbbing heart rate), heat flashes, weariness, dizziness, anxiety, and depression are considered major PNCs [2]. Previous studies have reported a high prevalence of PNCs among apparently healthy individuals [3]. However, earlier analyses have suggested that neurological problems are significantly prevalent among patients with acute coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) [4]. Additionally, a symptom that appears in acute cases persists as the post-acute sequela of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infections [5].

Since 2019, COVID-19 has infected more than 8% of the global population, and its prevalence has been increasing as the pandemic was unprecedently prolonged [6, 7]. The overburden of COVID-19 survivorship has been immense. Specifically, the heterogeneous manifestation of COVID-19 has affected the health of patients with COVID-19 and those without COVID-19. Pandemic-related fear, grief, loneliness, roaming restriction, and economic stressors negatively predict the neuropsychological health of the general population [8–10]. Most COVID-19 survivors have reported post-acute COVID-19 sign symptoms, including fatigue and exhaustion, dyspnea, coughing, headache, taste and smell alterations, and cognitive or psychological health dysfunction, namely anxiety and depression [11–13].

A few studies have examined the prevalence of neurological complaints among patients with long-term COVID-19. However, most of these studies have been conducted in high-income settings and among hospital patients [14]. COVID-19 has spread and affected health differently according to race and country; death and recovery rates are also unmatched in different locations [15]. Thus, it can be hypothesized that COVID-19 symptoms are unusual among patients who have recovered from COVID-19 in Bangladesh. To the best of our knowledge, the association between COVID-19 and PNCs has not been examined in the Indian subcontinental population. The current study examined the association between COVID-19 conditions and healthy individuals.

Materials and methods

Settings and respondents

This case-control study included 878 Bangladeshi adults (cases = 439). The cases were laboratoryconfirmed patients who previously experienced COVID-19. The controls comprised individuals without COVID-19 who were matched with cases' sex and age [16].

Sample size determination

Laboratory-tested 2.0 million COVID-19-positive cases across Bangladesh were considered the population [7]. A 95% confidence level, a 50% response distribution, and a margin with a 5% error were used to ascertain 385 respondents for case data [17].

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Participants aged > 18 years residing in Bangladesh were included in this study. Patients with acute COVID-19, who were pregnant, and who were unreliable and unpredictable individuals (i.e., participants diagnosed with a severe psychological disorder, bedridden individuals, participants with severe chronic illnesses, particularly rheumatoid and gouty arthritis, cerebrovascular accident, or malignancy) were excluded from this study.

Data source and collection

Six previously trained expert researchers were engaged in the data collection process. Six hundred laboratory-tested post-acute COVID-19-positive individuals were collected from 10 conveniently selected government-affiliated COVID-19 testing centers in Bangladesh. After considering the inclusion and

exclusion criteria, 450 participants were interviewed. The aims of this study were explained, documented, and scripted. Written informed consent was obtained from the expected participants to collect, analyze, and publish the data before the interview started. Finally, the participants were interviewed in person using a paper-based semi-structured questionnaire at their residences or occupational places. This study included 439 eligible data points for the "case" group.

Subsequently, data from 439 non-COVID-19 participants were collected. "Control" participants were selected from the case's suitable family members, neighborhood, or office colleagues.

Therefore, 878 data points were collected between February 24 and April 7, 2022. Optimal confidentiality was maintained throughout the data collection process. Data were recorded anonymously and stored in a password-encrypted computer in an unidentifiable manner for analysis.

Questionnaire

Sociodemographic characteristics including age, biological sex, marital information, education, occupational history, and domestic monthly earnings in Bangladeshi taka (BDT) (1 US dollar = approximately 100 BDT), and present residence were included in the first part of the questionnaire. Phase two of the questionnaire assessed the respondents' chronic comorbidities, particularly bronchial asthma, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and renal disease, tobacco use history, and regular physical exercise habits. Dichotomous options (yes or no) were used to answer these questions.

For the case data, the participants provided details about their COVID-19. Symptom severity (very severe, severe, moderate, and mild), and the treatment amenities patients had used (hospital's intensive care unit, hospital's general ward, or home) were reported. The recovery duration from the acute COVID-19 vaccination status was also documented for this cohort.

The final part of the questionnaire assessed the pseudoneurological health complaints of the last 30 days using the pseudoneurology sub-scale of Eriksen et al.'s [18] Subjective Health Complaints scale. The PNCs included seven components: palpitation, heat flashes, sleeping problems, fatigue, dizziness, anxiety, and depression. The severity of PNCs was evaluated on a scale of four points (0–3: 0, none; 1, some; 2, much; and 3, severe). These complaints were additionally enumerated based on the number of days in the past month. Severity was multiplied by duration to obtain a complete score ranging from 0 to 90, signifying the degree of illness [18]. For this study, respondents who complained of at least some problems for 3 days $(1 \times 3 = 3)$ in the last month and scored ≥ 3 were considered to have PNCs.

Ethical consideration

The 'Ethical Review Committee (ERC) of Uttara Adhunik Medical College' cleared the ethical issue for this study (Approval number: UAMC/ERC/Recommend- 11/2021). Furthermore, prospective registration for this case-control study was received from the World Health Organization-endorsed Clinical Trial Registry, India (CTRI/2022/02/040449, registered on February 2, 2022). Formal written informed consent was obtained from all the participants before data collection to collect, analyze, and publish their data. The Strengthening the Reporting of Cohort, Cross-Sectional and Case-Control Studies in Surgery guideline [19] was strictly followed throughout the study.

Participants and public involvement

The respondents and the public were not engaged in our study design, conduct, reporting, and dissemination plans. The study's endeavors and objectives were elucidated, and assurance of anonymity was granted before receiving written informed consent from the participants.

Analysis of data

The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (version 28.0; IBM Corp., USA) was used to complete the data analyses. To compute the prevalence, the four responses to the PNC questions were dichotomized according to whether the participants were experiencing the symptoms (yes) or not (no). Chi-squared tests

were used to compare categorical variables with and without PNCs. Multiple logistic regression models were used to calculate adjusted odds ratios (aORs), considering a confidence interval (CI) of 95% with PNCs as a measured variable and sociodemographic particularities and impersonal COVID-19 illness-associated components as the predictor variables for PNCs. The two regression models included only the statistically significant variables in the descriptive analyses. The Hosmer–Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test tested the fitness of the model. *P*-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

General characteristics

This study analyzed 878 data (50.5% women) of patients with a mean age of 38.30 [standard deviation (SD) \pm 12.77] years. There were 439 data (49.2% women) in the case group, and the mean age of this group was 38.33 (SD \pm 12.53) years. Conversely, the control group comprised 51.7% of women, with a mean age of 38.28 (SD \pm 13.01) years.

For all data, most of the participants were married (83.6%), graduates (34.1%), and jobholders (33.7%), had a monthly income of BDT > 45,000 (42.5%), belonged to the nuclear family (66.6%), and were urban dwellers (61.5%). Nonetheless, only 24.0%, 23.5%, 10.3%, and 16.4% of the participants reported hypertension, diabetes, kidney disease, and asthma, respectively. Additionally, approximately 19.8% and 38.8% of the respondents reported that they performed routine physical workouts and were tobacco addicts, respectively. The results are presented in Table 1.

Variables	PNCs		Total (column %)	P-value
	No (row %)	Yes (row %)		
Total respondents	527 (60.0)	351 (40.0)	878 (100)	
Tested positive for COVID				< 0.001*
Yes	143 (32.6)	296 (67.4)	439 (50.0%)	
No	384 (87.5)	55 (12.5)	439 (50.0%)	
Biological sex				0.401
Female	272 (51.6)	171 (48.7)	443 (50.5)	
Male	255 (48.4)	180 (51.3)	435 (49.5)	
Age group (year)				0.063
18–30	195 (62.1)	119 (37.9)	314 (35.8)	
31–40	146 (53.9)	125 (46.1)	271 (30.9)	
41–50	91 (59.1)	63 (40.9)	154 (17.5)	
51–60	57 (67.9)	27 (32.1)	84 (9.6)	
> 60	38 (69.1)	17 (30.9)	55 (6.3)	
Marital status				0.111
Single	95 (66.0)	49 (34.0)	144 (16.4)	
Married	432 (58.9)	302 (41.2)	734 (83.6)	
Educational status				< 0.001*
≤ High school	140 (52.8)	125 (47.2)	265 (30.2)	
College education	134 (61.5)	84 (38.5)	218 (24.8)	
Graduation	193 (64.5)	106 (35.5.0)	299 (34.1)	
≥ Post-graduation	60 (62.5.)	36 (37.5)	96 (10.9)	
Employment status				< 0.001*
Jobholder	180 (83.8)	116 (39.2)	296 (33.7)	
Businessman	68 (51.1)	65 (48.9)	133 (15.1)	
Unemployed	42 (59.2)	29 (40.8)	71 (8.1)	
Student	46 (92.0)	4 (8.0)	50 (5.7)	
Home maker	122 (70.1)	99 (44.8)	221 (25.2)	
Healthcare personnel	69 (64.5)	38 (35.5)	107 (12.2)	

Table 1. Descriptive data of the entire respondents: sociodemographic and clinical variables and PNCs

Table 1. Descriptive data	of the entire respondents:	sociodemographic and clinica	variables and PNCs (continued)
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Variables	PNCs		Total (column %)	<i>P</i> -value
	No (row %)	Yes (row %)		
Monthly household income (BDT)				< 0.001*
< 15,000	58 (45.0)	71 (55.0)	129 (14.7)	
15,000–30,000	70 (48.6)	74 (51.4)	144 (16.4)	
31,000–45,000	155 (66.8)	77 (33.2)	232 (26.4)	
> 45,000	244 (65.4)	129 (34.6)	373 (42.5)	
Family category				0.076
Nuclear family	339 (57.9)	246 (42.1)	585 (66.6)	
Joint family	188 (64.2)	105 (35.8)	293 (33.4)	
Current residence				0.007*
Rural	115 (63.9)	65 (36.1)	180 (20.5)	
Urban	303 (56.1)	237 (43.9)	540 (61.5)	
Semi-urban	109 (69.0)	49 (31.0)	158 (18.0)	
Hypertension				0.003*
No	419 (62.8)	248 (37.2)	667 (76.0)	
Yes	108 (51.2)	103 (48.8)	211 (24.0)	
Diabetes				0.450
No	408 (60.7)	264 (39.3)	672 (76.5)	
Yes	119 (57.8)	87 (42.2)	206 (23.5)	
Kidney disease				0.499
No	470 (59.6)	318 (40.4)	788 (89.7)	
Yes	57 (63.3)	33 (36.7)	90 (10.3)	
Asthma				0.167
No	448 (61.0)	286 (39.0)	734 (83.6)	
Yes	79 (54.9)	65 (45.1)	144 (16.4)	
Exercise habit				0.071
No	433 (61.5)	271 (38.5)	704 (80.2)	
Yes	94 (54.0)	80 (46.0)	174 (19.8)	
Current tobacco user				0.001*
No	345 (64.2)	192 (35.8)	537 (61.2)	
Yes	182 (53.4)	159 (46.6)	341 (38.8)	

* *P*-values signify 5% significance levels

In the case data (Table 2), a more significant part of the attendees was married (85.6%), graduated (33.9%), was jobholder (34.4%), earned BDT > 45,000 (45.1%), belonged to a nuclear family (67.9%), and resided in an urbanized area (68.6%). Nonetheless, approximately 27.3%, 26.7%, 14.4%, and 23.9% of the participants had hypertension, diabetes mellitus, renal illness, and asthmatic problems, respectively. However, approximately 24.4% and 44.9% of the respondents performed routine exercise and used tobacco, respectively. Similarly, a significant proportion of participants with post-acute sequelae COVID-19 recovered from the sickness > 180 days previously (36.7%), showed mild symptoms (49.9%), received treatment at home (65.1%), and received two doses of vaccines before apprehending this disease (53.0%).

Table 2.	Descriptive data of	f cases: sociodem	paraphic and clinic	cal factors related	to COVID-19 and PNCs
10010 11	Dooonparo data o	. 00000. 0001000111	sgrapino ana omn	our raotoro rolatou	

Variables	PNCs	PNCs		P-value
	No (row %)	Yes (row %)		
Total respondents	143 (32.6)	296 (67.4)	439 (100)	
Biological sex				0.896
Female	71 (32.9)	145 (67.1)	216 (49.2)	
Male	72 (32.3)	151 (67.7)	223 (50.8)	
Age group (year)				0.135

Table 2. Descriptive data of cases	sociodemographic and clinical	factors related to COV	/ID-19 and PNCs (continued)
------------------------------------	-------------------------------	------------------------	-----------------------------

Variables	PNCs		Total (column %)	<i>P</i> -value	
	No (row %)	Yes (row %)			
18–30	48 (31.4)	105 (68.6)	153 (34.9)		
31–40	40 (28.0)	103 (72.0)	143 (32.6)		
41–50	25 (32.1)	53 (67.9)	78 (17.8)		
51–60	18 (45.0)	22 (55.0)	40 (9.1)		
> 60	12 (48.0)	13 (52.0)	25 (5.7)		
Marital status				0.091	
Single	27 (42.9)	36 (57.1)	63 (14.4)		
Married	116 (12.2)	260 (87.8)	376 (85.6)		
Educational status				0.608	
≤ High school	37 (28.2)	94 (71.8)	131 (29.8)		
College education	37 (34.3)	71 (65.7)	108 (24.6)		
Graduation	50 (33.6)	99 (66.4)	149 (33.9)		
≥ Post-graduation	19 (37.3)	32 (62.7)	51 (11.6)		
Employment status				< 0.001*	
Jobholder	51 (33.8)	100 (66.2)	151 (34 4)	0.001	
Businessman	17 (27.0)	46 (73.0)	63 (14.4)		
Unemployed	12 (32 4)	25 (67 6)	37 (8 4)		
Student	14 (82 4)	3 (17.6)	17 (3.9)		
Home maker	31 (26 5)	86 (73 5)	117 (3.3)		
Healthcare personnel	18 (33 3)	36 (66 7)	54 (12 3)		
Monthly household income (PDT)	10 (33.3)	30 (00.7)	54 (12.5)	< 0.001*	
	E (9 0)	E1 (01 1)	EC (10 0)	< 0.001	
< 15,000 15,000	5 (0.9) 15 (20.2)	51 (91.1)	30 (12.0) 74 (16.0)		
15,000-30,000	15 (20.3)	59 (79.7)	74 (10.9) 111 (05.0)		
31,000-45,000	42 (37.8)	09 (02.2)	111 (25.3)		
> 45,000	81 (40.9)	117 (59.1)	198 (45.1)	0.000	
Family category			000 (07 0)	0.269	
	92 (30.9)	206 (69.1)	298 (67.9)		
	51 (36.2)	90 (63.8)	141 (32.1)	ooo./*	
Current residence				< 0. 001	
Rural	20 (33.3)	40 (66.7)	60 (13.7)		
Urban	82 (27.2)	219 (72.8)	301 (68.6)		
Semi-urban	41 (52.6)	37 (47.4)	78 (17.8)		
Hypertension				0.350	
No	108 (33.9)	211 (66.1)	319 (72.7)		
Yes	35 (29.2)	85 (70.8)	120 (27.3)		
Diabetes				0.175	
No	99 (30.7)	223 (69.3)	322 (73.3)		
Yes	44 (37.6)	73 (62.4)	117 (26.7)		
Kidney disease				< 0.001*	
No	108 (28.7)	268 (71.3)	376 (85.6)		
Yes	35 (55.6)	28 (44.4)	63 (14.4)		
Asthma				0.010*	
No	98 (29.3)	236 (70.7)	334 (76.1)		
Yes	45 (42.9)	60 (57.1)	105 (23.9)		
Exercise habit				0.786	
No	107 (32.2)	225 (67.8)	332 (75.6)		
Yes	36 (33.6)	71 (66.4)	107 (24.4)		
Current tobacco user	- *	. ,		0.865	
No	78 (32.2)	164 (67.8)	242 (55.1)		

Table 2. Descriptive data of cases: sociodemographic and clinical factors related to COVID-19 and PNCs (contin	าueď
--	------

Variables	PNCs		Total (column %)	P-value
	No (row %)	Yes (row %)		
Yes	65 (33.0)	132 (67.0)	197 (44.9)	
Recovering period after acute-0	COVID-19			< 0.001*
< 30 days	8 (66.7)	4 (33.3)	12 (2.7)	
30–60 days	16 (42.1)	22 (57.9)	38 (8.7)	
61–90 days	13 (11.8)	97 (88.2)	110 (25.1)	
91–120 days	15 (36.4)	26 (63.6)	41 (9.3)	
121–150 days	12 (36.4)	21 (63.6)	33 (7.5)	
151–180 days	15 (34.1)	29 (65.9)	44 (10.0)	
180 + days	64 (39.8)	97 (60.2)	161 (36.7)	
COVID-19 symptoms				0.033*
Mild	79 (36.1)	140 (63.9)	219 (49.9)	
Moderate	23 (21.1)	86 (78.9)	109 (24.8)	
Severe	17 (37.0)	29 (63.0)	46 (10.5)	
Very severe	24 (36.9)	41 (63.1)	65 (14.8)	
Treatment facilities used				0.182
Home	86 (30.1)	200 (69.9)	286 (65.1)	
Hospital general ward	22 (32.8)	45 (67.2)	67 (15.3)	
Hospital intensive care	35 (40.7)	51 (59.3)	86 (19.6)	
Dose of vaccine before COVID	-19			0.010*
No vaccine	53 (36.8)	91 (63.2)	143 (32.6)	
1 Dose	40 (41.2)	57 (58.8)	97 (22.1)	
2 Doses	44 (23.9)	140 (76.1)	184 (41.9)	
3 Doses	6 (42.9)	8 (57.1)	14 (3.2)	

* *P*-values signify 5% significance levels

Descriptive analysis of the entire data

The prevalence of PNCs was 40.0% in all participants. Nonetheless, the incidence rate of PNCs was considerably higher in the case group than in the control group (67.4% vs. 12.5%, $P \le 0.001$). Closer analysis indicated that the prevalences of PNC components for the case and control groups were as follows: dizziness (17.8% vs. 3.6%, $P \le 0.001$), heat flashes (15.7% vs. 3.2%, $P \le 0.001$), palpitation (35.1% vs. 4.3%, $P \le 0.001$), tiredness (45.1% vs. 12.3%, $P \le 0.001$), sleep problems (48.3% vs. 24.37%, $P \le 0.001$), depression (40.2% vs. 8.7%, $P \le 0.001$), and anxiety (54.7% vs. 11.4%, $P \le 0.001$) (Figure 1). Additionally, participants with lower education (47.2%, $P \le 0.001$), with businesses (48.9%, $P \le 0.001$), who had lower gross monthly earnings (55.0%, $P \le 0.001$), who were city dwellers (43.9%, P = 0.007), who were diagnosed with hypertension (48.8%, P = 0.003), and who consumed tobacco (46.6%, P = 0.001) had significantly higher rate of PNCs (Table 1).



Figure 1. Distribution of PNCs in controls and cases

Descriptive analysis of case data

Evaluation of case data suggested a higher prevalence of PNCs among business people (73.0%, $P \le 0.001$), respondents with lower income (91.1%, $P \le 0.001$), city dwellers (72.8%, $P \le 0.001$), individuals who recovered from the illness 61–90 days previously (88.2%, $P \le 0.001$), who had moderate symptoms (78.9%, P = 0.033), and who received two doses of vaccine (76.1%, P = 0.010). In contrast, participants diagnosed with kidney disease (44.4%, $P \le 0.001$) and asthma (57.1%, P = 0.010) had lower PNC rates than those without kidney disease and asthma (Table 2).

Regression model of all data

Regression analysis 1 (Table 3) revealed the robust predictability of COVID-19 for PNCs (aOR, 21.97; 95% CI, 14.364–33.604) after adjusting for potential confounders. The highest odds of PNCs were also reported in participants with low income (aOR, 8.558; 95% CI, 4.287–17.087), who were city dwellers (aOR, 1.773; 95% CI, 1.092–2.879), and with hypertension (aOR, 1.903; 95% CI, 1.257–2.879).

Fasters OD	Otom dowel ow
Table 3. Logistic regression analysis of all data: predi-	ctors of PNCs

Factors	aOR	Standard error	95% CI		<i>P</i> -value
Category					
Case	21.970	0.217	14.364	33.604	< 0.001*
Control	Reference				
Education					
≤ High school	1.148	0.369	0.557	2.364	0.708
Higher secondary education	1.291	0.364	0.632	2.637	0.483
Graduation	1.058	0.318	0.567	1.975	0.860
≥ Post-graduation	Reference				
Employment status					
Jobholder	0.988	0.308	0.541	1.807	0.970
Businessman	1.840	0.380	0.874	3.872	0.108
Unemployed	1.094	0.433	0.468	2.555	0.836
Student	0.103	0.664	0.028	0.380	< 0.001*
Homemaker	0.697	0.362	0.343	1.416	0.318
Healthcare personnel	Reference				
Monthly household income (BDT)					
< 15,000	8.558	0.353	4.287	17.085	< 0.001*
15,000–30,000	3.052	0.302	1.687	5.521	< 0.001*
31,000–45,000	1.119	0.240	0.700	1.789	0.639
> 45,000	Reference				
Current residence					
Rural	1.320	0.320	0.706	2.469	0.385
Urban	1.773	0.247	1.092	2.879	0.021*
Semi-urban	Reference				
Hypertension					
Yes	1.903	0.247	1.257	2.879	0.002*
No	Reference				
Tobacco using					
Yes	0.909	0.232	0.617	1.339	0.630
No	Reference				

P-values signify 5% significance levels

Adjusted analysis of case data

Results of regression model 2 are shown in Table 4. In this case, significantly higher odds of PNCs were observed among participants with low income (aOR, 5.269; 95% CI, 1.631–17.023), who were city dwellers (aOR, 3.313; 95% CI, 1.754–5.592), and who recovered from the illness 30–60 days previously (aOR, 4.444;

95% CI, 1.641–12.033) and 121–150 days previously (aOR, 3.150; 95% CI, 1.053–9.419). Nonetheless, lower odds of PNCs were observed among students (aOR, 0.081; 95% CI, 0.018–0.361).

Variables	aOR	Standard error	95% CI		P-value
Employment status					
Jobholder	1.006	0.369	0.488	2.074	0.986
Businessman	1.361	0.473	0.539	3.435	0.515
Unemployed	1.829	0.550	0.623	5.374	0.272
Student	0.081	0.761	0.018	0.361	0.001*
Homemaker	0.980	0.419	0.431	2.229	0.961
Healthcare personnel	Reference				
Monthly household income (B	DT)				
< 15,000	5.269	0.598	1.631	17.023	0.005*
15,000–30,000	2.490	0.404	1.127	5.498	0.024*
31,000–45,000	1.066	0.293	0.600	1.893	0.827
> 45,000	Reference				
Current residence					
Rural	1.967	0.433	0.842	4.596	0.118
Urban	3.131	0.296	1.754	5.592	< 0.001*
Semi-urban	Reference				
Kidney diseases					
No	3.443	0.361	1.696	6.987	0.001*
Yes	Reference				
Asthma					
No	1.417	0.284	0.812	2.475	0.220
Yes	Reference				
Recovering period after acute	-COVID-19				
< 30 days	Reference				
30–60 days	4.444	0.508	1.641	12.033	0.003*
61–90 days	2.001	0.544	0.689	5.816	0.203
91–120 days	1.915	0.581	0.613	5.981	0.264
121–150 days	3.150	0.559	1.053	9.419	0.040*
151–180 days	1.721	0.460	0.698	4.239	0.238
180 + days	0.407	0.775	0.089	1.861	0.247
COVID-19 symptoms					
Mild	0.594	0.374	0.285	1.234	0.163
Moderate	0.929	0.421	0.407	2.119	0.860
Sever	1.096	0.461	0.444	2.707	0.842
Very severe	Reference				
Dose of vaccine before COVII	D-19				
No vaccine	1.766	0.684	0.462	6.747	0.406
1 Dose	1.472	0.694	0.377	5.738	0.578
2 Doses	1.523	0.670	0.410	5.658	0.530
3 Doses	Reference				

Table 4. Logistic regression analysis of case data: predictors of PNCs

* P-values signify 5% significance levels

Discussion

This comprehensive age- and sex-matched case-referent study revealed a high prevalence of PNCs among community dwellers in Bangladesh during the COVID-19 pandemic. A remarkably higher prevalence rate of PNCs was reported in individuals who previously experienced COVID-19 than in those who had never tested positive for COVID-19. Regression analysis confirmed the robust independent predictive ability of

COVID-19 for PNCs. Occupation, monthly household income, current living location, and hypertension also predicted PNCs. In addition, the recovery period from acute COVID-19 was associated with PNCs.

Scarce information exists regarding subjective health complaints of the global population during the pandemic. A previous study reported that the prevalence of PNCs in Bangladesh was 26.6% [2]. This study reported a high prevalence rate of 40%. The prevalence rate was significantly higher (67.4%) in patients with post-acute COVID-19. In addition, the highest percentage of patients with post-acute COVID-19 complained of anxiety, followed by sleep problems, tiredness, depression, palpitation, dizziness, and heat flashes. In line with our outcomes, a methodological assessment and meta-analysis concluded that several patients with post-acute COVID-19 experienced anxiety, depression, fatigue, and cognitive impairment [13, 20].

Underprivileged populations with lower incomes are among the primary victims of the COVID-19 pandemic and the disease itself [21, 22]. Our current study also recommended that a significantly higher number of participants with household incomes of \leq 15,000 BDT had complaints about PNCs. This heterogeneity may be due to the poor access of these individuals to healthcare facilities or the proper treatment of COVID-19 during or after acute illness. By contrast, this study revealed that city dwellers complained about PNCs at a significantly higher rate. People living in urban settings are more prone to depression, anxiety, and other neuropsychological health issues than those living in rural settings [23]. In line with other studies [24, 25], our analysis reported an unmatched prevalence rate of PNCs throughout the duration of the acute illness. Additional studies are warranted to understand the causal association between COVID-19 and PNCs.

This study revealed that the days that passed from the recovery from acute COVID-19 influenced the prevalence of PNCs. This study suggested that the symptoms were highly prevalent between one to two months of recovery from acute illness, and the prevalence reduced after two months and then increased after three months until five months. In line with the findings of current study, previous study results showed similar fluctuations in the prevalence of post-acute COVID-19 symptoms based on the duration of recovery from acute COVID-19 [26]. Nonetheless, this study suggested that the prevalence of PNCs was lower among the patients diagnosed with kidney and asthma. More investigations are warranted to understand the causal relationship between comorbidities and PNCs among patients with post-acute COVID-19.

Strengths and limitations

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first case-control study that compared PNCs among patients with post-acute COVID-19 and healthy individuals. Furthermore, this study added COVID-19-related data from a low-resourced country in the literature, which is scarce. However, this study has some limitations. First, this study measured subjective health complaints in pseudoneurology; thus, the prevalence of anxiety and depression should be used cautiously. Second, this study was cross-sectional, which would not enable us to understand causal relations between dependent and independent variables. Finally, it is not impossible that the control data included some asymptomatic COVID-19 patients, which may have influenced the study results. Despite these limitations, this study found some pieces of evidence for future studies on the association between COVID-19 and neuropsychological disorders.

Conclusion

This study demonstrated a remarkably high prevalence of PNCs, thus predicting upcoming neuropsychological health concerns among the community during and after the COVID-19 pandemic. This study also indicated that individuals with lower household income were significant victims of COVID-19. Healthcare amenities must be prepared to address these issues and mitigate the current and upcoming health burden of PNCs. The underprivileged population should be prioritized when discussing health issues among patients with post-acute COVID-19. Additional surveys are required to monitor the health of patients with post-acute COVID-19.

Abbreviations

aORs: adjusted odds ratios BDT: Bangladeshi taka CI: confidence interval COVID-19: coronavirus disease 2019 PNCs: pseudoneurological complaints SD: standard deviation

Declarations

Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to the participants for providing the information used to conduct the study.

Author contributions

MA: Conceptualization, Writing—original draft, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation, Data curation, Formal analysis, Validation, Supervision, Writing—review & editing. ASB and TM: Validation, Supervision, Project administration, Writing—review & editing. All authors contributed to manuscript revision, read and approved the submitted version.

Conflicts of interest

Not applicable.

Ethical approval

The 'Ethical Review Committee (ERC) of Uttara Adhunik Medical College' cleared the ethical issue for this study (Approval number: UAMC/ERC/Recommend- 11/2021).

Consent to participate

Formal written informed consent was obtained from all the participants before data collection to collect, analyze, and publish their data.

Consent to publication

Not applicable

Availability of data and materials

Data will be made available on request.

Funding Not applicable.

Copyright © The Author(s) 2023.

References

- 1. Wiklund M, Malmgren-Olsson EB, Ohman A, Bergström E, Fjellman-Wiklund A. Subjective health complaints in older adolescents are related to perceived stress, anxiety and gender a cross-sectional school study in Northern Sweden. BMC Public Health. 2012;12:993.
- 2. Ali M, Uddin Z, Ahsan GU, Hossain A. Association between daily commute and subjective health complaints among the office workers in an urban community. Heliyon. 2021;7:e07841.

- 3. Ihlebaek C, Eriksen HR, Ursin H. Prevalence of subjective health complaints (SHC) in Norway. Scand J Public Health. 2002;30:20–9.
- 4. Al-Ramadan A, Rabab'h O, Shah J, Gharaibeh A. Acute and post-acute neurological complications of COVID-19. Neurol Int. 2021;13:102–19.
- 5. Subramanian A, Nirantharakumar K, Hughes S, Myles P, Williams T, Gokhale KM, et al. Symptoms and risk factors for long COVID in non-hospitalized adults. Nat Med. 2022;28:1706–14.
- 6. Priyanka, Choudhary OP, Singh I, Patra G. Aerosol transmission of SARS-CoV-2: the unresolved paradox. Travel Med Infect Dis. 2020;37:101869.
- COVID-19 Dashboard by the Center for Systems Science and Engineering (CSSE) at Johns Hopkins University (JHU) [Internet]. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center; c2023 [cited 2022 Sep 14]. Available from:https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html
- 8. Costanza A, Macheret L, Folliet A, Amerio A, Aguglia A, Serafini G, et al. COVID-19 related fears of patients admitted to a psychiatric emergency department during and post-lockdown in Switzerland: preliminary findings to look ahead for tailored preventive mental health strategies. Medicina. 2021;57:1360.
- 9. Ali M. Factors associated with COVID-19 fear among healthcare professionals in Bangladesh. Dialogues Health. 2022;1:100037.
- Ali M, Uddin Z, Hossain A. Economic stressors and mental health symptoms among Bangladeshi rehabilitation professionals: a cross-sectional study amid COVID-19 pandemic. Heliyon. 2021;7:e06715.
- 11. Ali M, Bonna AS, Sarkar AS, Islam MA, Rahman NA. SARS-CoV-2 infection is associated with low back pain: findings from a community-based case-control study. Int J Infect Dis. 2022;122:144–51.
- 12. Ali M. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection altered the factors associated with headache: evidence from a multicenter community-based case-control study. Pain Rep. 2022;7:e1051.
- 13. Parker AM, Brigham E, Connolly B, McPeake J, Agranovich AV, Kenes MT, et al. Addressing the post-acute sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 infection: a multidisciplinary model of care. Lancet Respir Med. 2021;9:1328–41.
- 14. Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C, Rodríguez-Jiménez J, Fuensalida-Novo S, Palacios-Ceña M, Gómez-Mayordomo V, Florencio LL, et al. Myalgia as a symptom at hospital admission by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection is associated with persistent musculoskeletal pain as long-term post-COVID sequelae: a case-control study. Pain. 2021;162:2832–40.
- 15. Ali M, Uddin Z, Banik PC, Hegazy FA, Zaman S, Ambia ASM, et al. Knowledge, attitude, practice, and fear of COVID-19: an online-based cross-cultural study. Int J Ment Health Addict. 2023;21:1025–40.
- 16. Ali M, Bonna AS, Sarkar A, Islam A. Is coronavirus infection associated with musculoskeletal health complaints? Results from a comprehensive case-control study. J Prim Care Community Health. 2022;13:21501319221114259.
- 17. Barlett JE, Kotrlik JW, Higgins CC. Organizational research: determining appropriate sample size in survey research. Inf Technol Learn Perform J. 2001;19:43–50.
- 18. Eriksen HR, Ihlebaek C, Ursin H. A scoring system for subjective health complaints (SHC). Scand J Public Health. 1999;27:63–72.
- 19. Mathew G, Agha R; STROCSS Group. STROCSS 2021: strengthening the reporting of cohort, cross-sectional and case-control studies in surgery. Ann Med Surg. 2021;72:103026.
- 20. Miskowiak KW, Johnsen S, Sattler SM, Nielsen S, Kunalan K, Rungby J, et al. Cognitive impairments four months after COVID-19 hospital discharge: pattern, severity and association with illness variables. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2021;46:39–48.
- 21. Costanza A, Amerio A, Aguglia A, Serafini G, Amore M, Macchiarulo E, et al. From "The Interpersonal Theory of Suicide" to "The Interpersonal Trust": an unexpected and effective resource to mitigate economic crisis-related suicide risk in times of Covid-19? Acta Biomed. 2021;92:e2021417.

- 22. Ali M, Ahsan GU, Khan R, Khan HR, Hossain A. Immediate impact of stay-at-home orders to control COVID-19 transmission on mental well-being in Bangladeshi adults: patterns, explanations, and future directions. BMC Res Notes. 2020;13:494.
- 23. Ventriglio A, Torales J, Castaldelli-Maia JM, De Berardis D, Bhugra D. Urbanization and emerging mental health issues. CNS Spectr. 2021;26:43–50.
- 24. Montani D, Savale L, Noel N, Meyrignac O, Colle R, Gasnier M, et al.; COMEBAC Study Group. Post-acute COVID-19 syndrome. Eur Respir Rev. 2022;31:210185.
- 25. Nalbandian A, Sehgal K, Gupta A, Madhavan MV, McGroder C, Stevens JS, et al. Post-acute COVID-19 syndrome. Nat Med. 2021;27:601–15.
- 26. Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C, Navarro-Santana M, Plaza-Manzano G, Palacios-Ceña D, Arendt-Nielsen L. Time course prevalence of post-COVID pain symptoms of musculoskeletal origin in patients who had survived severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Pain. 2022;163:1220–31.